arXiv:1702.08475v1 [math.QA] 27 Feb 2017

Hom-Tensor Categories and the Hom-Yang-Baxter Equation

Florin Panaite, Paul Schrader, and Mihai D. Staic

Abstract. We introduce a new type of categorical object called a *hom-tensor category* and show that it provides the appropriate setting for modules over an arbitrary hom-bialgebra. Next we introduce the notion of *hom-braided category* and show that this is the right setting for modules over quasitriangular hom-bialgebras. We also show how the hom-Yang-Baxter equation fits into this framework and how the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a hom-bialgebra with bijective structure map can be organized as a hom-braided category. Finally we prove that, under certain conditions, one can obtain a tensor category (respectively a braided tensor category) from a hom-tensor category (respectively a hom-braided category).

1. Introduction

Tensor categories were introduced by Bénabou in [**3**]. A basic example is the category of vector spaces over a field k. More interesting examples can be obtained from bialgebras. If A is an algebra and $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ is a morphism of algebras, then the category of A-modules is a tensor category (with the tensor product induced by Δ and trivial associativity constraint) if and only if A is a bialgebra.

The *Yang-Baxter equation* was introduced by Yang and Baxter (see [**2**], [**24**]). It has applications to knot invariants and it was intensively studied over the last thirty years.

Braided categories were introduced by Joyal and Street in [**13**]. The main example is the braid category; it satisfies a universal property for braided categories (see [**15**]). Other examples are obtained from quasitriangular Hopf algebras. Braided categories can be used to construct representations for the braid group and invariants for tangles, knots and 3-manifolds (see [**23**]). The braiding of a braided category satisfies a dodecagonal equation (see [**15**]) that may be regarded as a categorical analogue of the Yang-Baxter equation.

The genesis of hom-structures may be found in the physics literature from the years 1990, concerning quantum deformations of algebras of vector fields, especially Witt and Virasoro algebras (e.g., see [**1**], [**6**], [**8**], [**9**] and [**14**]). These classes of examples led to the development first of hom-Lie algebras ([**11**], [**16**]), which are analogues of Lie algebras where the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear map. This was followed by the development of hom-analogues of associative algebras, coalgebras, bialgebras, Hopf algebras, etc. (e.g., see [**4**], [**5**], [**7**], [**10**], [**12**], [**17**], [**18**], [**19**], [**20**], [**21**], [**22**], [**25**], [**27**], [**28**]). The reader can find a concise history on hom-structures in the introduction of [**18**].

One natural question to ask is what type of categorical framework these hom-structures fit into. In the original concept of hom-bialgebra (see [**20**], [**21**]), two distinct linear maps twist the associative and co-associative structures of a bialgebra. When the two twisting maps are inverses to each other it was proved in [**5**] that the category of modules is a tensor category. The question that may be asked is what kind of categorical framework does a hom-bialgebra where two arbitrary linear maps twist the associative and co-associative structure fit into? Moreover, is there an analogue to the classical relationship between quasitriangular bialgebras and braided tensor categories for quasitriangular hom-bialgebras? It is these questions that motivated this paper and the concepts it contains.

There are two main objectives to this paper. The first one is to introduce a hom-analogue to a tensor category, called a *hom-tensor category*. In a hom-tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ the usual associator is replaced by a natural isomorphism $a_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes F(W) \to F(U) \otimes (V \otimes W)$ that satisfies a generalized pentagonal equation (here $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a functor; when F is the identity functor we recover the definition of a tensor

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18D10, Secondary 16T05, 17A99.

category without unit). We show that the category of modules over a hom-bialgebra (as it is posed in [**20**] and [**21**]) fits in the categorical framework of hom-tensor categories.

The second objective is to introduce a hom-analogue to a braided tensor category, called a *hom-braided category*. In a hom-braided category $\mathcal{C}$ we have a natural morphism $c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \to G(V) \otimes G(U)$ that satisfies a generalization of the hexagonal axioms (where $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is another functor). We show that this new categorical framework provides the right setting for modules over quasitriangular hom-bialgebras. We also show how the hom-Yang-Baxter equation (introduced by D. Yau in [**26**]) fits in the context of hom-braided categories, and we prove that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules (introduced in [**18**]) over a hom-bialgebra with bijective structure map becomes a hom-braided category.

As applications to our theory, we give new proofs for Yau's result from [**29**] saying roughly that a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra H provides a solution for the hom-Yang-Baxter equation on any H-module and for the result in [**18**] saying that ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$, the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules (M, α_M) with α_M bijective over a hom-bialgebra H with bijective structure map, is a quasi-braided category.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 begins with recalling some definitions and concepts of hom-structures necessary in presenting the upcoming results. We begin Section 3 by defining a hom-tensor category, and then we show how a hom-tensor category is the appropriate categorical framework for hom-bialgebras with arbitrary twisting maps. Section 4 introduces the notions of algebras in a hom-tensor category, left H-module hom-algebras over a hom-bialgebra H and a categorical analogue to a Yau twist. In Section 5 we define hom-braided categories and prove that they provide the right categorical framework for quasitriangular hom-bialgebras. In Section 6 we show how to regard the hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the categorical framework of hom-braided categories. In Section 7 the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a hom-bialgebra as seen in [**18**] is organized under the framework of a hom-braided category. Finally, in Section 8 we show that under certain conditions one can obtain a tensor category (respectively a braided tensor category) from a hom-tensor category (respectively a hom-braided category).

2. Preliminaries

We work over a base field $\mathbf{k}$. An unlabeled tensor product means either a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ on a category $\mathcal{C}$ or the tensor product over $\mathbf{k}$. For a comultiplication $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ on a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space C we use a Sweedler-type notation $\Delta(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, for $c \in C$. Unless otherwise specified, the (co)algebras ((co)associative or not) that will appear in what follows are *not* supposed to be (co)unital, and a multiplication $\mu : V \otimes V \to V$ on a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space V is denoted by juxtaposition: $\mu(v \otimes v') = vv'$.

We will use the following terminology for categories. A *pre-tensor category* is a category satisfying all the axioms of a tensor category in [**15**] except for the fact that we do not require the existence of a unit object. If $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a)$ is a pre-tensor category, a *quasi-braiding* c in $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of natural morphisms $c_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying all the axioms of a braiding in [**15**] except for the fact that we do not require $c_{V,W}$ to be isomorphisms; in this case, $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, c)$ is called a quasi-braided pre-tensor category.

We recall now some definitions, notation and results taken from [**19**], [**20**], [**21**], [**25**] and [**28**].

Definition 2.1. A *hom-associative* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra* is a triple (A, m_A, α_A), where A is a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, $m_A : A \otimes A \to A$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map denoted by $m_A(a \otimes b) = ab$, for all $a, b \in A$, and $\alpha_A : A \to A$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map satisfying the following conditions, for all $a, b, c \in A$:

$$\alpha_A(ab) = \alpha_A(a)\,\alpha_A(b), \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha_A(a)(bc) = (ab)\,\alpha_A(c). \tag{2}$$

Let (A, m_A, α_A) and (B, m_B, α_B) be two hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras. A *morphism* of hom-associative algebras $f : (A, m_A, \alpha_A) \to (B, m_B, \alpha_B)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $f : A \to B$ such that $\alpha_B \circ f = f \circ \alpha_A$ and $f \circ m_A = m_B \circ (f \otimes f)$.

Remark 2.2. If (A, m_A, α_A), (B, m_B, α_B) are hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, then $(A \otimes B, m_{A\otimes B}, \alpha_{A\otimes B})$ is also a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, where $m_{A\otimes B}((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) = aa' \otimes bb'$ and $\alpha_{A\otimes B} = \alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B$.

Definition 2.3. A *hom-coassociative* $\mathbf{k}$*-coalgebra* is a triple (C, Δ_C, ψ_C), where C is a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, $\Delta_C : C \to C \otimes C$ and $\psi_C : C \to C$ are $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\psi_C \otimes \psi_C) \circ \Delta_C = \Delta_C \circ \psi_C, \tag{3}$$

$$(\Delta_C \otimes \psi_C) \circ \Delta_C = (\psi_C \otimes \Delta_C) \circ \Delta_C. \tag{4}$$

Let (C, Δ_C, ψ_C), (D, Δ_D, ψ_D) be two hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebras. A *morphism* of hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebras $g : (C, \Delta_C, \psi_C) \to (D, \Delta_D, \psi_D)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $g : C \to D$ such that $\psi_D \circ g = g \circ \psi_C$ and $(g \otimes g) \circ \Delta_C = \Delta_D \circ g$.

Definition 2.4. A *hom-bialgebra* is a 5-tuple $(B, m_B, \Delta_B, \alpha_B, \psi_B)$, where (B, m_B, α_B) is a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, (B, Δ_B, ψ_B) is a hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra, Δ_B is a morphism of hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, α_B is a morphisms of hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebras and ψ_B is a morphism of hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras (in particular we have $\alpha_B \circ \psi_B = \psi_B \circ \alpha_B$).

Remark 2.5. The following statement is equivalent to Definition 2.4. A hom-bialgebra is a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra (B, m_B, α_B) together with two $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps $\Delta_B : B \to B \otimes B$ and $\psi_B : B \to B$ such that $\alpha_B \circ \psi_B = \psi_B \circ \alpha_B$ and the following conditions are satisfied for all $b, b' \in B$:

$$\sum b_{(1)_{(1)}} \otimes b_{(1)_{(2)}} \otimes \psi_B\left(b_{(2)}\right) = \sum \psi_B\left(b_{(1)}\right) \otimes b_{(2)_{(1)}} \otimes b_{(2)_{(2)}}, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum (bb')_{(1)} \otimes (bb')_{(2)} = \sum b_{(1)} b'_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)} b'_{(2)}, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum \alpha_B(b)_{(1)} \otimes \alpha_B(b)_{(2)} = \sum \alpha_B\left(b_{(1)}\right) \otimes \alpha_B\left(b_{(2)}\right), \tag{7}$$

$$\sum \psi_B(b)_{(1)} \otimes \psi_B(b)_{(2)} = \sum \psi_B\left(b_{(1)}\right) \otimes \psi_B\left(b_{(2)}\right), \tag{8}$$

$$\psi_B(bb') = \psi_B(b)\psi_B(b'). \tag{9}$$

Remark 2.6. In the literature, most of the results about hom-bialgebras use the extra assumption that $\psi_B = \alpha_B$ or $\psi_B = \alpha_B^{-1}$ (see [**5**], [**18**], [**29**]). We treat the general situation, to cover both cases of interest.

We recall now the so-called "twisting principle" or "Yau twisting".

Proposition 2.7. Let (A, μ) be an associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and $\alpha : A \to A$ an algebra endomorphism. Define a new multiplication $\mu_\alpha : A \otimes A \to A$, $\mu_\alpha := \alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$. Then (A, μ_α, α) is a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, denoted by A_α and called the *Yau twist* of A.

Definition 2.8. Let M be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, (A, m_A, α_A) be a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map. A *left A-module structure* on (M, α_M) consists of a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\mu_M : A \otimes M \to M$, with notation $\mu_M(a \otimes m) = a \cdot m$, such that the following conditions are satisfied for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$:

$$\alpha_M(a \cdot m) = \alpha_A(a) \cdot \alpha_M(m), \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha_A(a) \cdot (b \cdot m) = (ab) \cdot \alpha_M(m). \tag{11}$$

Let (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) be two left A-modules. A *morphism* of left A-modules is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $f : M \to N$ satisfying the conditions $\alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M$ and $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$ for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$.

Definition 2.9. Let (C, Δ_C, ψ_C) be a hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra, M a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space and $\psi_M : M \to M$ a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map. A *left C-comodule structure* on (M, ψ_M) consists of a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\lambda_M : M \to C \otimes M$ (usually denoted by $\lambda_M(m) = \sum m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$, for all $m \in M$), satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\psi_C \otimes \psi_M) \circ \lambda_M = \lambda_M \circ \psi_M, \tag{12}$$

$$(\Delta_C \otimes \psi_M) \circ \lambda_M = (\psi_C \otimes \lambda_M) \circ \lambda_M. \tag{13}$$

If (M, ψ_M) and (N, ψ_N) are left C-comodules, with structures $\lambda_M : M \to C \otimes M$ and $\lambda_N : N \to C \otimes N$, a *morphism* of left C-comodules $g : M \to N$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map satisfying the conditions $\psi_N \circ g = g \circ \psi_M$ and $(id_C \otimes g) \circ \lambda_M = \lambda_N \circ g$.

We define general quasitriangular hom-bialgebras (see [**27**], [**29**] for the case $\alpha = \psi$).

Definition 2.10. Let $(H, m, \Delta, \alpha, \psi)$ be a hom-bialgebra and let $R \in H \otimes H$ be given as $R = \sum_i s_i \otimes t_i$. We call $(H, m, \Delta, \alpha, \psi, R)$ a *quasitriangular hom-bialgebra* if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$R\Delta(h) = \Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}(h) R, \text{ for all } h \in H, \tag{14}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes \alpha)(R) = \sum_{i,j} \psi(s_i) \otimes \psi(s_j) \otimes t_i t_j, \tag{15}$$

$$(\alpha \otimes \Delta)(R) = \sum_{i,j} s_i s_j \otimes \psi(t_j) \otimes \psi(t_i), \tag{16}$$

where we denoted as usual $\Delta^{cop}(h) = \sum h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(1)}$, for $h \in H$.

REMARK 2.11. Let $H = (H, m, \Delta, \alpha, \psi, R)$ be a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra and $h \in H$. We can reformulate conditions (14), (15) and (16) respectively in Definition 2.10 using Sweedler notation as follows:

$$\sum_i s_i h_{(1)} \otimes t_i h_{(2)} = \sum_i h_{(2)} s_i \otimes h_{(1)} t_i, \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_i (s_i)_{(1)} \otimes (s_i)_{(2)} \otimes \alpha(t_i) = \sum_{i,j} \psi(s_i) \otimes \psi(s_j) \otimes t_i t_j, \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha(s_i) \otimes (t_i)_{(1)} \otimes (t_i)_{(2)} = \sum_{i,j} s_i s_j \otimes \psi(t_j) \otimes \psi(t_i). \tag{19}$$

REMARK 2.12. Notice that if $(\psi \otimes \psi)(R) = R$ then conditions (15) and (16) are equivalent to

$$(\Delta \otimes (\alpha \circ \psi))(R) = \sum_{i,j} s_i \otimes s_j \otimes t_i t_j, \tag{20}$$

$$((\alpha \circ \psi) \otimes \Delta)(R) = \sum_{i,j} s_i s_j \otimes t_j \otimes t_i. \tag{21}$$

We introduce now the following concept, to be used in subsequent sections.

DEFINITION 2.13. Let $A = (A, m_A, \alpha_A)$ be a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra.
(i) Suppose that $h \cdot m = 0$ for any A-module (M, α_M) and for all $m \in M$ implies $h = 0$. Then we say that A is *nondegenerate*.
(ii) Suppose that $h \cdot m = 0$ for any A-module (M, α_M) and for all $m \in \alpha_M(M)$ implies $h = 0$. Then we say that A is *strongly nondegenerate*.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let A be a nondegenerate hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and $x \in A \otimes A$ such that $x \cdot (u \otimes v) = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and all $v \in V$ and for all left A-modules (U, α_U) and (V, α_V). Then $x = 0$. A similar result is true for $y \in A^{\otimes 3}$. Similar results are true for strongly nondegenerate algebras.*

PROOF. Let $x = \sum_{p=1}^n a_p \otimes b_p$, where a_p, $b_p \in A$ and $\{b_p\}_{1 \leq p \leq n}$ are $\mathbf{k}$-linearly independent. Fix a left A-module (U, α_U) and fix a $\mathbf{k}$-basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ for U. Consider the set of $\mathbf{k}$-linear applications $e_i^* \in U^*$ determined by $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_i^j$. For every left A-module (V, α_V) and for every $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $i \in I$ we have:

$$0 = (e_i^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(x \cdot (u \otimes v)) = (e_i^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\sum_{p=1}^n (a_p \otimes b_p) \cdot (u \otimes v)) = \sum_{p=1}^n e_i^*(a_p \cdot u) b_p \cdot v.$$

Since A is nondegenerate we get that for every $i \in I$ and every $u \in U$ we have that $\sum_{p=1}^n e_i^*(a_p \cdot u) b_p = 0 \in A$. But $\{b_p\}_{1 \leq p \leq n}$ are linearly independent, so for every $1 \leq p \leq n$ we have $e_i^*(a_p \cdot u) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and for all $u \in U$. Now since $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis for U we must have that $a_p \cdot u = 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq n$ and for all $u \in U$. But U can be any A-module and A is nondegenerate which implies that $a_p = 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq n$ and so $x = \sum_{p=1}^n a_p \otimes b_p = 0$. □

3. Hom-Tensor Categories

We introduce a new type of categories called *hom-tensor categories*, which have a tensor functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ with the usual associativity condition replaced by a more relaxed condition (see Definition 3.1). We show that hom-bialgebras fit very nicely in this framework. Unlike the tensor category introduced in [**5**], a hom-tensor category can be associated even to hom-bialgebras for which α_A is not necessary bijective.

DEFINITION 3.1. A *hom-tensor category* is a 6-tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$, where:

(1) $\mathcal{C}$ is a category.
(2) $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor (called the *hom-tensor product*).
(3) $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor such that $F(U \otimes V) = F(U) \otimes F(V)$ for all objects $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F(f \otimes g) = F(f) \otimes F(g)$ for all morphisms $f, g \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$.
(4) $a_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) \to F(X) \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$ is a natural isomorphism that satisfies the "Pentagon" axiom as seen in Figure 1 for all objects $X, Y, Z, T \in \mathcal{C}$. We call a the *hom-associativity constraint* of the hom-tensor category.
(5) $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor such that $G(U \otimes V) = G(U) \otimes G(V)$ for all objects $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $G(f \otimes g) = G(f) \otimes G(g)$ for all morphisms $f, g \in \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$.

(6) There exists a natural transformation $\Phi : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to G$.
(7) $FG = GF$.
(8) $F(\Phi_U) = \Phi_{F(U)}$, $G(\Phi_U) = \Phi_{G(U)}$, for every object $U \in \mathcal{C}$.
(9) $\Phi_{M\otimes N} = \Phi_M \otimes \Phi_N$, for all objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$.



FIGURE 1. The "Pentagon" axiom for the hom-associativity constraint a

REMARK 3.2. Note that we do not require the existence of a unit in the category, and so a more appropriate name for the structure we defined would be hom-pre-tensor category. However, in order to simplify the terminology, we prefer to call it hom-tensor category.

REMARK 3.3. One may relax the above definition, by removing some of the axioms. For instance, one may remove the condition $\Phi_{M\otimes N} = \Phi_M \otimes \Phi_N$, which is used later in only one place, in the last section. The importance of the functor G will become apparent later, when we talk about hom-braided categories.

We present now a first class of examples of hom-tensor categories.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a)$ be a pre-tensor category. We define the category $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows: objects are pairs (M, α_M), where M is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_M \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M)$, morphisms $f : (M, \alpha_M) \to (N, \alpha_N)$ are morphisms $f : M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M$. Then $(\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C}), \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ is a hom-tensor category, where the tensor product $\otimes$ is defined by $(M, \alpha_M) \otimes (N, \alpha_N) = (M \otimes N, \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N)$ on objects and by the tensor product in $\mathcal{C}$ on morphisms, the functors F and G are both identity, the natural transformation $\Phi_{(M,\alpha_M)} : (M, \alpha_M) \to (M, \alpha_M)$ is defined by $\Phi_{(M,\alpha_M)} := \alpha_M$, and the natural isomorphism a is defined by $a_{(M,\alpha_M),(N,\alpha_N),(P,\alpha_P)} := a_{M,N,P}$.

PROOF. A straightforward verification. □

The following proposition gives the relation between hom-tensor categories and hom-bialgebras.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $H = (H, m_H, \alpha_H)$ be a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let $\Delta_H : H \to H \otimes H$, $\psi_H : H \to H$ be morphisms of hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebras. Consider the two statements (A) and (B) below. Then we have that (A) implies (B) and if H is nondegenerate (B) implies (A).

(A) $(H, m_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ is a hom-bialgebra.
(B) The category $\mathscr{H} = (H\textbf{-mod}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ is a hom-tensor category, where:
  (i) The objects of $\mathscr{H}$ are left H-modules.
  (ii) The morphisms of $\mathscr{H}$ are left H-module morphisms.
  (iii) The hom-tensor product of (U, α_U) and (V, α_V) is given by $(U, \alpha_U) \otimes (V, \alpha_V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{:=} (U \otimes V, \alpha_{U\otimes V})$, where $\alpha_{U\otimes V} := \alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V$ and the left H-action $H \otimes (U \otimes V) \to U \otimes V$ is defined for all elements $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $h \in H$ by $h \cdot (u \otimes v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{:=} \Delta(h) \cdot (u \otimes v) = \sum \left(h_{(1)} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(h_{(2)} \cdot v\right)$. If

$f \in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}}(U,W)$ and $g \in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}}(V,X)$ then $f \otimes g : U \otimes V \to W \otimes X$ is defined by $(f \otimes g)(u \otimes v) \overset{\text{def}}{:=} f(u) \otimes g(v)$, for all $u \in U$, $v \in V$.

(iv) $F : H\text{-}\mathbf{mod} \to H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ is the covariant functor defined by $F((U,\alpha_U)) = \left(U^{\psi}, \alpha_{U^{\psi}}\right)$, where $U^{\psi} = U$ as a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space (we will denote an element of $U^{\psi} = U$ as $\bar{u}$), and the H-module structure $H \otimes U^{\psi} \to U^{\psi}$ is given by $h \cdot_{\psi} \bar{u} = \overline{\psi_H(h) \cdot u}$, for all $h \in H$, $u \in U$. Furthermore, the $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\alpha_{U^{\psi}} : U^{\psi} \to U^{\psi}$ is defined by $\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(\bar{u}) = \overline{\alpha_U(u)}$ for all $\bar{u} \in U^{\psi}$. For all morphisms $f : U \to V$ we have $F(f)(\bar{u}) = \overline{f(u)}$ for all $\bar{u} \in U^{\psi}$.

(v) The hom-associativity constraint $a_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W^{\psi} \to U^{\psi} \otimes (V \otimes W)$ is defined by the natural isomorphism $a_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes \bar{w}) = \bar{u} \otimes (v \otimes w)$, for all $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $\bar{w} \in W^{\psi}$ such that (U,α_U), (V,α_V) and (W,α_W) are objects in $H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$.

(vi) $G : H\text{-}\mathbf{mod} \to H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ is the covariant functor defined by $G((U,\alpha_U)) = (U^{\alpha}, \alpha_{U^{\alpha}})$, where $U^{\alpha} = U$ as a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space (we will denote an element of $U^{\alpha} = U$ as $\widetilde{u}$), and the H-module structure $H \otimes U^{\alpha} \to U^{\alpha}$ is given by $h \cdot_{\alpha} \widetilde{u} = \widetilde{\alpha_H(h) \cdot u}$, for all $h \in H$, $u \in U$. Furthermore, the $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\alpha_{U^{\alpha}} : U^{\alpha} \to U^{\alpha}$ is defined by $\alpha_{U^{\alpha}}(\widetilde{u}) = \widetilde{\alpha_U(u)}$ for all $\widetilde{u} \in U^{\alpha}$. For all morphisms $f : U \to V$ we have $G(f)(\widetilde{u}) = \widetilde{f(u)}$ for all $\widetilde{u} \in U^{\alpha}$.

(vii) $\Phi : U \to U^{\alpha}$ is determined by $\Phi_U(u) = \widetilde{\alpha_U(u)}$, for all $u \in U$.

Remark 3.6. Notice that as $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces we have $U^{\psi} \otimes V^{\psi} = U \otimes V = (U \otimes V)^{\psi}$. This allows us to identify $\bar{u} \otimes \bar{v} \in U^{\psi} \otimes V^{\psi}$ with $\overline{u \otimes v} \in (U \otimes V)^{\psi}$. Also notice that if $\bar{u_1} = \bar{u_2} \in U^{\psi}$ then $u_1 = u_2 \in U$. A similar statement is true for U^{α}.

Proof. $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ Suppose that $H = (H, m_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ is a hom-bialgebra. To begin, we want to show that the tensor product is well-defined in $\mathscr{H}$. Let $(U,\alpha_U), (V,\alpha_V) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathscr{H})$. Our first claim is that $(U \otimes V, \alpha_{U\otimes V}) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathscr{H})$. We need to check that both conditions (10) and (11) hold for $U \otimes V$.

When using a certain property to establish a particular equality within the following computations, we will indicate that property above the corresponding equal sign. So, suppose that $h \in H$, $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Then checking for property (10) of $U \otimes V$ results in

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{U\otimes V})(h \cdot (u \otimes v)) &\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} (\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V) \sum \left(h_{(1)} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(h_{(2)} \cdot v\right) \\
&= \sum \alpha_U\left(h_{(1)} \cdot u\right) \otimes \alpha_V\left(h_{(2)} \cdot v\right) \\
&\overset{(10)}{=} \sum \left(\alpha_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \cdot \alpha_U(u)\right) \otimes \left(\alpha_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot \alpha_V(v)\right) \\
&\overset{(7)}{=} \sum \left((\alpha_H(h))_{(1)} \cdot \alpha_U(u)\right) \otimes \left((\alpha_H(h))_{(2)} \cdot \alpha_V(v)\right) \\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \alpha_H(h) \cdot (\alpha_U(u) \otimes \alpha_V(v)) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot (\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V)(u \otimes v) \\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \alpha_H(h) \cdot (\alpha_{U\otimes V})(u \otimes v).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that $h, h' \in H$, $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Checking for condition (11) of $U \otimes V$ results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_H(h) \cdot (h' \cdot (u \otimes v)) &\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \alpha_H(h) \cdot \sum \left(h'_{(1)} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(h'_{(2)} \cdot v\right) \\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \sum \left((\alpha_H(h))_{(1)} \cdot \left(h'_{(1)} \cdot u\right)\right) \otimes \left((\alpha_H(h))_{(2)} \cdot \left(h'_{(2)} \cdot v\right)\right) \\
&\overset{(7)}{=} \sum \left(\alpha_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(h'_{(1)} \cdot u\right)\right) \otimes \left(\alpha_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot \left(h'_{(2)} \cdot v\right)\right) \\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum \left(\left(h_{(1)}h'_{(1)}\right) \cdot \alpha_U(u)\right) \otimes \left(\left(h_{(2)}h'_{(2)}\right) \cdot \alpha_V(v)\right) \\
&\overset{(6)}{=} \sum \left((hh')_{(1)} \cdot \alpha_U(u)\right) \otimes \left((hh')_{(2)} \cdot \alpha_V(v)\right) \\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} (hh') \cdot (\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V)(u \otimes v) = (hh') \cdot (\alpha_{U\otimes V})(u \otimes v).
\end{aligned}$$

So condition (11) holds for $U \otimes V$. Thus $(U \otimes V, \alpha_{U\otimes V}) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathscr{H})$.

Let $(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V),(U',\alpha_{U'}),(V',\alpha_{V'})\in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathscr{H})$, $f\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(U,U')$ and $f'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(V,V')$. We claim that $f\otimes f'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(U\otimes V,U'\otimes V')$. First we check that $(\alpha_{U'\otimes V'})(f\otimes f')=(f\otimes f')(\alpha_{U\otimes V})$:

$$(\alpha_{U'\otimes V'})(f\otimes f')(u\otimes v)=(\alpha_{U'}\otimes\alpha_{V'})(f(u)\otimes f'(v))=\alpha_{U'}(f(u))\otimes\alpha_{V'}(f'(v)),$$
$$(f\otimes f')(\alpha_{U\otimes V})(u\otimes v)=(f\otimes f')(\alpha_U\otimes\alpha_V)(u\otimes v)=f(\alpha_U(u))\otimes f'(\alpha_V(v)).$$

Since $f\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(U,U')$ and $f'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(V,V')$ we have that $\alpha_{U'}(f(u))=f(\alpha_U(u))$ and $\alpha_{V'}(f'(v))=f'(\alpha_V(v))$ for all $u\in U$, $v\in V$. Thus the two expressions above are equal.

Next, for $u\in U$, $v\in V$, $h\in H$, we check that $(f\otimes f')(h\cdot(u\otimes v))=h\cdot(f\otimes f')(u\otimes v)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(f\otimes f')(h\cdot(u\otimes v)) &\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} (f\otimes f')\left(\sum(h_{(1)}\cdot u)\otimes(h_{(2)}\cdot v)\right)=\sum f(h_{(1)}\cdot u)\otimes f'(h_{(2)}\cdot v)\\ &= \sum(h_{(1)}\cdot f(u))\otimes(h_{(2)}\cdot f'(v))\\ &= h\cdot(f(u)\otimes f'(v))=h\cdot(f\otimes f')(u\otimes v).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f\otimes f'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(U\otimes V,U'\otimes V')$.

Now that the hom-tensor product is well-defined for objects and morphisms in $\mathscr{H}$ one can easily show that the remaining properties for being functorial are satisfied. That is, if we let $f\in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-mod}}(S,T)$, $f'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-mod}}(T,W)$, $g\in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-mod}}(U,V)$ and $g'\in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\text{-mod}}(V,X)$ then $(f'\otimes g')\circ(f\otimes g)=(f'\circ f)\otimes(g'\circ g)$.

Furthermore, for any $(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V)\in \mathbf{Ob}(H\text{-mod})$, we have that $\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes V}=\mathrm{id}_U\otimes\mathrm{id}_V$. Thus, the hom-tensor product $\otimes: H\textbf{-mod}\times H\textbf{-mod}\to H\textbf{-mod}$ of $\mathscr{H}$ is a covariant functor.

Next we will show that $F:\mathscr{H}\to\mathscr{H}$ is a covariant functor. We want to show for any object (U,α_U) in $H\textbf{-mod}$ that $\left(U^{\psi},\alpha_{U^{\psi}}\right)$ is an H-module. Let $h\in H$ and $\bar{u}\in U^{\psi}$. We check condition (10):

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(h\cdot_{\psi}\bar{u}) &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} \alpha_{U^{\psi}}\left(\overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot u}\right)=\overline{\alpha_U(\psi_H(h)\cdot u)}\\ &\overset{(10)}{=} \overline{\alpha_H(\psi_H(h))\cdot\alpha_U(u)}=\overline{\psi_H(\alpha_H(h))\cdot\alpha_U(u)}\\ &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} \alpha_H(h)\cdot_{\psi}\overline{\alpha_U(u)}=\alpha_H(h)\cdot_{\psi}\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(\bar{u}).\end{aligned}$$

Let $h,h'\in H$ and $u\in U$ where (U,α_U) is an object in $H\textbf{-mod}$. Then checking condition (11) results in

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_H(h)\cdot_{\psi}(h'\cdot_{\psi}\bar{u}) &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} \alpha_H(h)\cdot_{\psi}\overline{(\psi_H(h')\cdot u)}\\ &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} \overline{\psi_H(\alpha_H(h))\cdot(\psi_H(h')\cdot u)}=\overline{\alpha_H(\psi_H(h))\cdot(\psi_H(h')\cdot u)}\\ &\overset{(11)}{=} \overline{(\psi_H(h)\psi_H(h'))\cdot\alpha_U(u)}\\ &\overset{(9)}{=} \overline{\psi_H((hh'))\cdot\alpha_U(u)}\\ &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} (hh')\cdot_{\psi}\overline{\alpha_U(u)}=(hh')\cdot_{\psi}\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(\bar{u}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left(U^{\psi},\alpha_{U^{\psi}}\right)$ is an object in $\mathscr{H}$.

Next we claim that F maps morphisms to morphisms in $\mathscr{H}$. Let $f\in \mathbf{Hom}_{H\textbf{-mod}}(V,W)$ and $\bar{v}\in V^{\psi}$. We will prove our claim by checking the following two properties:

$$(\alpha_{W^{\psi}}\circ F(f))(\bar{v})=(F(f)\circ\alpha_{V^{\psi}})(\bar{v}), \tag{22}$$
$$F(f)(h\cdot_{\psi}\bar{v})=h\cdot_{\psi}F(f)(\bar{v}). \tag{23}$$

Relation (22) is a consequence of the following computations:

$$(\alpha_{W^{\psi}}\circ F(f))(\bar{v})=\alpha_{W^{\psi}}\circ F(f)(\bar{v})=\alpha_{W^{\psi}}\left(\overline{f(v)}\right)=\overline{\alpha_W(f(v))},$$
$$(F(f)\circ\alpha_{V^{\psi}})(\bar{v})=F(f)(\alpha_{V^{\psi}}(\bar{v}))=F(f)\left(\overline{\alpha_V(v)}\right)=\overline{f(\alpha_V(v))}=\overline{\alpha_W(f(v))}.$$

Relation (23) holds by the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}F(f)(h\cdot_{\psi}\bar{v}) &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} F(f)\left(\overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot v}\right)=\overline{f(\psi_H(h)\cdot v)}=\overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot f(v)}\\ &\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=} h\cdot_{\psi}\overline{f(v)}=h\cdot_{\psi}F(f)(\bar{v}).\end{aligned}$$

The fact that F preserves compositions of morphisms and the identity morphism in H-**mod** is obvious. Therefore, F is indeed a covariant functor.

Next we need to check that $F\left((U,\alpha_U)\otimes(V,\alpha_V)\right)=F\left((U,\alpha_U)\right)\otimes F\left((V,\alpha_V)\right)$, for all $(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V)\in$ **Ob** $(H$-**mod**$)$. As noticed in Remark 3.6, we already have that identification at the level of **k**-vector spaces. We need to show that the H-module structure is preserved, too. Let $u\in U$, $v\in V$, $h\in H$. Then:

$$F\left((U,\alpha_U)\otimes(V,\alpha_V)\right)\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=}F\left(U\otimes V,\alpha_{U\otimes V}\right)=\left((U\otimes V)^{\psi},\alpha_{(U\otimes V)^{\psi}}\right),$$

with left H-action given by $h\cdot_{\psi}\overline{(u\otimes v)}=\overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot(u\otimes v)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}F\left((U,\alpha_U)\right)\otimes F\left((V,\alpha_V)\right)&\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=}\left(U^{\psi},\alpha_{U^{\psi}}\right)\otimes\left(V^{\psi},\alpha_{V^{\psi}}\right)\\&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=}\left(U^{\psi}\otimes V^{\psi},\alpha_{U^{\psi}\otimes V^{\psi}}\right)=\left(U^{\psi}\otimes V^{\psi},\alpha_{U^{\psi}}\otimes\alpha_{V^{\psi}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

with left H-action given by

$$h\cdot(\bar{u}\otimes\bar{v})\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=}\sum h_{(1)}\cdot_{\psi}\bar{u}\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot_{\psi}\bar{v}\overset{\text{(iv)}}{=}\sum\overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right)\cdot u}\otimes\overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right)\cdot v}.$$

We prove that the two left H-actions coincide:

$$\begin{aligned}h\cdot_{\psi}\overline{(u\otimes v)}&=\overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot(u\otimes v)}\\&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=}\sum\overline{\left(\psi_H(h)\right)_{(1)}\cdot u\otimes\left(\psi_H(h)\right)_{(2)}\cdot v}\\&\overset{Remark\ 3.6}{=}\sum\overline{\left(\psi_H(h)\right)_{(1)}\cdot u}\otimes\overline{\left(\psi_H(h)\right)_{(2)}\cdot v}\\&\overset{(8)}{=}\sum\overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right)\cdot u}\otimes\overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right)\cdot v}\\&=\sum h_{(1)}\cdot_{\psi}\overline{u}\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot_{\psi}\overline{v}=h\cdot(\overline{u}\otimes\overline{v}),\quad q.e.d.\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\alpha_{(U\otimes V)^{\psi}}=\alpha_{U^{\psi}}\otimes\alpha_{V^{\psi}}$ is obvious. Additionally, by the definition of F one can easily show that $F(f\otimes f')=F(f)\otimes F(f')$ for any morphisms f,f' in H-**mod**.

Next we need to check that

$$a_{U^{\psi},V^{\psi},W\otimes X}\circ a_{U\otimes V,W^{\psi},X^{\psi}}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{U^{\psi^2}}\otimes a_{V,W,X}\right)\circ a_{U^{\psi},V\otimes W,X^{\psi}}\circ\left(a_{U,V,W}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{X^{\psi^2}}\right).\tag{24}$$

Let $u\in U$, $v\in V$, $w\in W$ and $x\in X$, where (U,α_U), (V,α_V), (W,α_W) and (X,α_X) are objects in H-**mod**. For the left hand side of (24) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(a_{U^{\psi},V^{\psi},W\otimes X}\circ a_{U\otimes V,W^{\psi},X^{\psi}}\right)\left(((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w})\otimes\bar{\bar{x}}\right)&\overset{\text{(v)}}{=}a_{U^{\psi},V^{\psi},W\otimes X}\left(\overline{(u\otimes v)}\otimes(\bar{w}\otimes\bar{x})\right)\\&\overset{Remark\ 3.6}{=}a_{U^{\psi},V^{\psi},W\otimes X}\left((\bar{u}\otimes\bar{v})\otimes\overline{(w\otimes x)}\right)\\&\overset{\text{(v)}}{=}\bar{\bar{u}}\otimes\left(\bar{v}\otimes(w\otimes x)\right).\end{aligned}$$

For the right hand side of (24) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\left(\mathrm{id}_{U^{\psi^2}}\otimes a_{V,W,X}\right)\circ a_{U^{\psi},V\otimes W,X^{\psi}}\circ\left(a_{U,V,W}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{X^{\psi^2}}\right)\right)\left(((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w})\otimes\bar{\bar{x}}\right)\\&\overset{\text{(v)}}{=}\left(\left(\mathrm{id}_{U^{\psi^2}}\otimes a_{V,W,X}\right)\circ a_{U^{\psi},V\otimes W,X^{\psi}}\right)\left((\bar{u}\otimes(v\otimes w))\otimes\bar{\bar{x}}\right)\\&=\left(\mathrm{id}_{U^{\psi^2}}\otimes a_{V,W,X}\right)\left(\bar{\bar{u}}\otimes((v\otimes w)\otimes\bar{x})\right)\\&\overset{\text{(v)}}{=}\bar{\bar{u}}\otimes\left(\bar{v}\otimes(w\otimes x)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the hom-associativity constraint a satisfies the "Pentagon" axiom from Figure 1.

Next we show that the constraint map a is a morphism in $\mathscr{H}$. First, we will check that

$$\alpha_{U^{\psi}\otimes(V\otimes W)}\circ a_{U,V,W}\left((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w}\right)=a_{U,V,W}\circ\alpha_{(U\otimes V)\otimes W^{\psi}}\left((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w}\right)\tag{25}$$

for all $u\in U$, $v\in V$ and $w\in W$, where (U,α_U), (V,α_V) and (W,α_W) are objects in $\mathscr{H}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{U^{\psi}\otimes(V\otimes W)}\circ a_{U,V,W}\left((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w}\right)&\overset{\text{(v)}}{=}\alpha_{U^{\psi}\otimes(V\otimes W)}\left(\bar{u}\otimes(v\otimes w)\right)\\&=\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(\bar{u})\otimes\alpha_{V\otimes W}(v\otimes w)\\&=\alpha_{U^{\psi}}(\bar{u})\otimes\left(\alpha_V(v)\otimes\alpha_W(w)\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(iv)}{=} \overline{\alpha_U(u)} \otimes (\alpha_V(v) \otimes \alpha_W(w)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{U,V,W} \circ \alpha_{(U\otimes V)\otimes W^\psi}((u\otimes v)\otimes \bar{w}) &= a_{U,V,W}(\alpha_{U\otimes V}(u\otimes v)\otimes \alpha_{W^\psi}(\bar{w})) \\ &= a_{U,V,W}((\alpha_U(u)\otimes \alpha_V(v))\otimes \alpha_{W^\psi}(\bar{w})) \\ &\overset{(iv)}{=} a_{U,V,W}\left((\alpha_U(u)\otimes \alpha_V(v))\otimes \overline{\alpha_W(w)}\right) \\ &\overset{(v)}{=} \overline{\alpha_U(u)}\otimes(\alpha_V(v)\otimes\alpha_W(w)). \end{aligned}$$

So (25) holds. Next we show that $a_{U,V,W}(h\cdot((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w})) = h\cdot a_{U,V,W}((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w})$, for all $h\in H$, $u\in U$, $v\in V$ and $w\in W$, where (U,α_U), (V,α_V) and (W,α_W) are objects in $\mathscr{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{U,V,W}(h\cdot((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w})) &\overset{(iii)}{=} a_{U,V,W}\left(\sum (h_{(1)}\cdot(u\otimes v))\otimes (h_{(2)}\cdot_\psi \bar{w})\right) \\ &\overset{(iii),(iv)}{=} a_{U,V,W}\left(\left(\sum \left(h_{(1)_{(1)}}\cdot u\right)\otimes\left(h_{(1)_{(2)}}\cdot v\right)\right)\otimes\left(\overline{\psi_H(h_{(2)})\cdot w}\right)\right) \\ &\overset{(v)}{=} \sum\left(\overline{h_{(1)_{(1)}}\cdot u}\right)\otimes\left(\left(h_{(1)_{(2)}}\cdot v\right)\otimes(\psi_H(h_{(2)})\cdot w)\right) \\ &\overset{(5)}{=} \sum \overline{\psi_H(h_{(1)})\cdot u}\otimes\left(\left(h_{(2)_{(1)}}\cdot v\right)\otimes\left(h_{(2)_{(2)}}\cdot w\right)\right) \\ &\overset{(iv),(iii)}{=} \sum (h_{(1)}\cdot_\psi \bar{u})\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot(v\otimes w) \\ &\overset{(iii)}{=} h\cdot(\bar{u}\otimes(v\otimes w)) \\ &\overset{(v)}{=} h\cdot a_{U,V,W}((u\otimes v)\otimes\bar{w}), \quad q.e.d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a is a morphism in $\mathscr{H}$. The fact that a is an isomorphism follows directly from the definition. Just like for F one can show that G is a functor and $G((U,\alpha_U)\otimes(V,\alpha_V)) = G(U,\alpha_U)\otimes G(V,\alpha_V)$ and $G(f\otimes g) = G(f)\otimes G(g)$. Since $\alpha_H\circ\psi_H = \psi_H\circ\alpha_H$, one can easily see that $FG = GF$.

Next we check that Φ_U is a morphism of H-modules. Indeed,

$$\Phi_U(h\cdot u) = \widetilde{\alpha_U(h\cdot u)} = \alpha_H(\widetilde{h)\cdot\alpha_U}(u) = h\cdot_\alpha \widetilde{\alpha_U(u)} = h\cdot_\alpha \Phi_U(u),$$

$$\Phi_U(\alpha_U(u)) = \alpha_U\widetilde{(\alpha_U(u))} = \alpha_{U^\alpha}\widetilde{\alpha_U(u)} = \alpha_{U^\alpha}(\Phi_U(u)).$$

Moreover, if $f: U\to V$ is a morphism of H-modules then we have $\widetilde{f}\Phi_U = \Phi_V f$. In other words Φ is a natural transformation between the functors $\mathrm{id}_{H\text{-mod}}$ and G. It is obvious that $F(\Phi_U) = \Phi_{F(U)}$, $G(\Phi_U) = \Phi_{G(U)}$ and $\Phi_{U\otimes V} = \Phi_U\otimes\Phi_V$.

Therefore, $\mathscr{H} = (H\textbf{-mod}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ is a hom-tensor category.

$(B)\Rightarrow(A)$ Suppose that $\mathscr{H} = (H\textbf{-mod}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ is a hom-tensor category and H is nondegenerate. In view of Definition 2.4 and given our assumptions, in order for $(H, m_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ to be a hom-bialgebra what remains to be shown is that (H, Δ_H, ψ_H) is a hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra.

We begin with proving (3). Let $(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V)\in\mathscr{H}$. We have that

$$F((U\otimes V, \alpha_{U\otimes V})) = F((U,\alpha_U))\otimes F((V,\alpha_V)). \tag{26}$$

In particular the map $\overline{(u\otimes v)}\to\overline{u}\otimes\overline{v}$ from Remark 3.6 is a morphism of H-modules.

Let $h\in H$, $u\in U$, and $v\in V$. The H-action for the left hand side of (26)

$$\begin{aligned} h\cdot_\psi \overline{(u\otimes v)} &\overset{(iv)}{=} \overline{\psi_H(h)\cdot(u\otimes v)} \\ &\overset{(iii)}{=} \overline{\sum\left((\psi_H(h))_{(1)}\cdot u\right)\otimes\left((\psi_H(h))_{(2)}\cdot v\right)} \end{aligned}$$

is equal to the H-action for the right hand side of (26)

$$\begin{aligned} h\cdot_\psi(\bar{u}\otimes\bar{v}) &\overset{(iii)}{=} \sum h_{(1)}\cdot_\psi \bar{u}\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot_\psi \bar{v} \\ &\overset{(iv)}{=} \sum \overline{\psi_H(h_{(1)})\cdot u}\otimes\overline{\psi_H(h_{(2)})\cdot v}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\overline{\sum \left(\left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(1)} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(\left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(2)} \cdot v\right)} = \sum \overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \cdot u} \otimes \overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot v},$$

which means that

$$\sum \left(\left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(1)} \otimes \left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(2)}\right) \cdot (u \otimes v) = \sum \left(\psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \otimes \psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right)\right) \cdot (u \otimes v).$$

Since H is assumed to be nondegenerate and by using Lemma 2.14, this equation implies that

$$\sum \left(\left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(1)} \otimes \left(\psi_H\left(h\right)\right)_{(2)}\right) = \sum \psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \otimes \psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right),$$

or equivalently $\left(\Delta_H \circ \psi_H\right)(h) = \left(\left(\psi_H \otimes \psi_H\right) \circ \Delta_H\right)(h)$, for all $h \in H$. So (3) holds for Δ_H.

Next we will show (4) for Δ_H. Since $\mathscr{H}$ is a hom-tensor category it means that the hom-associativity constraint is a morphism of left H-modules. This means that for $h \in H$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ we have $a_{U,V,W}\left(h \cdot \left((u \otimes v) \otimes \bar{w}\right)\right) = h \cdot a_{U,V,W}\left((u \otimes v) \otimes \bar{w}\right)$. For the left and respectively right hand side of this equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{U,V,W}\left(h \cdot \left((u \otimes v) \otimes \bar{w}\right)\right) &\overset{\text{(iii),(iv)}}{=} a_{U,V,W}\left(\left(\sum \left(h_{(1)_{(1)}} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(h_{(1)_{(2)}} \cdot v\right)\right) \otimes \left(\overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot w}\right)\right) \\ &\overset{\text{(v)}}{=} \sum \left(\overline{h_{(1)_{(1)}} \cdot u}\right) \otimes \left(\left(h_{(1)_{(2)}} \cdot v\right) \otimes \left(\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot w\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot a_{U,V,W}\left(\left(u \otimes v\right) \otimes \bar{w}\right) &\overset{\text{(v)}}{=} h \cdot \left(\bar{u} \otimes \left(v \otimes w\right)\right) \\ &\overset{\text{(iii),(iv)}}{=} \sum \overline{\psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \cdot u} \otimes \left(\left(h_{(2)_{(1)}} \cdot v\right) \otimes \left(h_{(2)_{(2)}} \cdot w\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, we must have

$$\sum \left(h_{(1)_{(1)}} \cdot u\right) \otimes \left(\left(h_{(1)_{(2)}} \cdot v\right) \otimes \left(\psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) \cdot w\right)\right) = \sum \psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \cdot u \otimes \left(\left(h_{(2)_{(1)}} \cdot v\right) \otimes \left(h_{(2)_{(2)}} \cdot w\right)\right),$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\sum h_{(1)_{(1)}} \otimes h_{(1)_{(2)}} \otimes \psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right)\right) \cdot \left(u \otimes \left(v \otimes w\right)\right) = \left(\sum \psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \otimes h_{(2)_{(1)}} \otimes h_{(2)_{(2)}}\right) \cdot \left(u \otimes \left(v \otimes w\right)\right).$$

Since H is nondegenerate and by using again Lemma 2.14, this equation implies that

$$\sum h_{(1)_{(1)}} \otimes h_{(1)_{(2)}} \otimes \psi_H\left(h_{(2)}\right) = \sum \psi_H\left(h_{(1)}\right) \otimes h_{(2)_{(1)}} \otimes h_{(2)_{(2)}},$$

or equivalently $\left(\left(\Delta_H \otimes \psi_H\right) \circ \Delta_H\right)(h) = \left(\left(\psi_H \otimes \Delta_H\right) \circ \Delta_H\right)(h)$, for all $h \in H$. Thus, Δ_H satisfies (4) and (H, Δ_H, ψ_H) is a hom-coassociative $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra. Therefore, $(H, m_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ is a hom-bialgebra. □

4. Algebras in Hom-Tensor Categories

Definition 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. An *algebra* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair (A, μ_A), where $A \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mu_A : A \otimes A \to FG(A)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the diagram in Figure 2 is commutative.

Proposition 4.2. We denote by **k-mod** the category of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces with its usual structure as a tensor category and we consider the hom-tensor category $\mathfrak{h}$(**k-mod**) as in Proposition 3.4. Then an algebra in $\mathfrak{h}$(**k-mod**) is exactly a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra.

Proof. Let $((A, \alpha_A), \mu_A)$ be an algebra in $\mathfrak{h}$(**k-mod**). This means that A is a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, $\alpha_A : A \to A$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map and $\mu_A : (A, \alpha_A) \otimes (A, \alpha_A) \to (A, \alpha_A)$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{h}$(**k-mod**), meaning that $\mu_A : A \otimes A \to A$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map satisfying $\alpha_A \circ \mu_A = \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A)$, such that $\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes \Phi_A) = \mu_A \circ (\Phi_A \otimes \mu_A)$; the second condition is equivalent to $(ab)\alpha_A(c) = \alpha_A(a)(bc)$, for all $a, b, c \in A$, where we denoted $\mu_A(a \otimes b) = ab$, for $a, b \in A$. This means exactly that (A, μ_A, α_A) is a hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. □

We recall the following concept from [**10**].

Definition 4.3. A *hom-semigroup* is a set S together with a binary operation $\mu : S \times S \to S$ (denoted by $\mu((x, y)) = xy$ for $x, y \in S$) and a function $\alpha : S \to S$ satisfying $\alpha(x)(yz) = (xy)\alpha(z)$, for all $x, y, z \in S$.

The hom-semigroup (S, μ, α) is called *multiplicative* if $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

We have an analogue of Proposition 4.2 for hom-semigroups (the proof is similar and will be omitted).



FIGURE 2. Definition of an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let **Set** be the category of sets with its usual structure as a pre-tensor category: the tensor product is the cartesian product of sets and the associativity constraint is defined by

$$a_{X,Y,Z} : (X \times Y) \times Z \to X \times (Y \times Z), \qquad a_{X,Y,Z}(((x,y),z)) = (x,(y,z)).$$

Consider the hom-tensor category $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{Set})$ as in Proposition 3.4. Then an algebra in $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{Set})$ is exactly a multiplicative hom-semigroup.

DEFINITION 4.5. Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ be a hom-bialgebra. A hom-associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra (A, μ_A, α_A) is called a *left H-module hom-algebra* if (A, α_A) is a left H-module, with action denoted by $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$, such that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\alpha_H\psi_H(h) \cdot (aa') = \sum (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot a'), \quad \forall\, h \in H,\ a, a' \in A. \tag{27}$$

REMARK 4.6. This concept contains as particular cases the concepts of module algebras for the situation $\psi_H = \alpha_H$ (introduced in [**25**]) and for the situation $\psi_H = \alpha_H^{-1}$ (introduced in [**7**]).

PROPOSITION 4.7. Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ be a hom-bialgebra and consider the hom-tensor category $\mathscr{H} = (H\text{-}\mathbf{mod}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ introduced in Proposition 3.5. Then an algebra in $\mathscr{H}$ is exactly a left H-module hom-algebra.

PROOF. Let $((A, \alpha_A), \mu_A)$ be an algebra in $\mathscr{H}$. This means that:
(i) (A, α_A) is a left H-module (we denote the action of H on A by $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$);
(ii) we have a morphism $\mu_A : (A, \alpha_A) \otimes (A, \alpha_A) \to FG((A, \alpha_A))$ in $\mathscr{H}$, denoted by $\mu_A(a \otimes b) = ab$ for all a, $b \in A$. By taking into account the structure of $\mathscr{H}$ as a hom-tensor category presented in Proposition 3.5, this means that $\alpha_A \circ \mu_A = \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A)$ and $\mu_A(h \cdot (a \otimes a')) = h \cdot_{\alpha\psi} \mu_A(a \otimes a')$, for all $h \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$, which is equivalent to saying that $\alpha_A(aa') = \alpha_A(a)\alpha_A(a')$ and $\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot a') = \alpha_H\psi_H(h) \cdot (aa')$.
(iii) we have $\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes \alpha_A) = \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \mu_A)$, which means that $(ab)\alpha_A(c) = \alpha_A(a)(bc)$, for all $a, b, c \in A$.
In conclusion, $((A, \alpha_A), \mu_A)$ is exactly a left H-module hom-algebra (A, μ_A, α_A). □

The next result may be regarded as a categorical analogue of the Yau twisting.

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a)$ be a pre-tensor category, (A, μ_A) an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_A : A \to A$ an algebra morphism. Define $m_A : A \otimes A \to A$, $m_A := \alpha_A \circ \mu_A = \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A)$. Then $((A, \alpha_A), m_A)$ is an algebra in the hom-tensor category $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C})$.

PROOF. First, by $\alpha_A \circ \mu_A = \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A)$ one obtains immediately that $\alpha_A \circ m_A = m_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A)$, that is $m_A : (A, \alpha_A) \otimes (A, \alpha_A) \to (A, \alpha_A)$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C})$. So, we only need to prove that $m_A \circ (m_A \otimes \Phi_A) = m_A \circ (\Phi_A \otimes m_A) \circ a_{A,A,A}$. We compute:

$$m_A \circ (\Phi_A \otimes m_A) \circ a_{A,A,A} \quad = \quad m_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes m_A) \circ a_{A,A,A}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_A \circ \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A \circ \mu_A) \circ a_{A,A,A} \\
&= \alpha_A \circ \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A) \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mu_A) \circ a_{A,A,A} \\
&= \alpha_A^2 \circ \mu_A \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mu_A) \circ a_{A,A,A} = \alpha_A^2 \circ \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \\
&= \alpha_A \circ \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_A) \circ (\mu_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \\
&= \alpha_A \circ \mu_A \circ (\alpha_A \circ \mu_A \otimes \alpha_A) = m_A \circ (m_A \otimes \Phi_A),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

5. Hom-Braided Categories

We introduce hom-braided categories and present their connection with quasitriangular hom-bialgebras. Here $\tau : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ is the functor defined by $\tau(V, W) = (W, V)$ for any pair of objects in a category $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. A *hom-commutativity constraint* d is a natural morphism $d : \otimes \to \otimes\tau(G \times G)$. That is, for any $V, W \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$ we have the morphism $d_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to G(W) \otimes G(V)$ such that the diagram in Figure 3 commutes for all morphisms f, $g \in \mathscr{C}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{d_{V,W}} & G(W) \otimes G(V) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f \otimes g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle G(g) \otimes G(f)} \\
V' \otimes W' & \xrightarrow{d_{V',W'}} & G(W') \otimes G(V')
\end{array}$$

Figure 3. The naturality of $d_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to G(W) \otimes G(V)$

Next we introduce the analog of the hexagon axiom in the context of hom-tensor categories.

Definition 5.2. We say that the hom-commutativity constraint d satisfies the *(H1) property* if the diagram, as seen in Figure 4, commutes for all objects U, V and W of the category $\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, we say that the hom-commutativity constraint d satisfies the *(H2) property* if the diagram, as seen in Figure 5, commutes for all objects U, V and W of the category $\mathscr{C}$.



Figure 4. The (H1) property



FIGURE 5. The (H2) property

DEFINITION 5.3. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. A *hom-braiding* d in $\mathscr{C}$ is a hom-commutativity constraint with the following conditions:

(i) d satisfies (H1) and (H2);
(ii) For all objects U and V in the category $\mathcal{C}$, $G(d_{U,V}) = d_{G(U),G(V)}$.

A *hom-braided category* $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ is a hom-tensor category with hom-braiding d.

REMARK 5.4. Note that d is not required to be invertible and so a more appropriate name for the above structure would be hom-quasi-braided category. However, in order to simplify the terminology, we prefer to call it hom-braided category.

We present a first class of examples of hom-braided categories.

PROPOSITION 5.5. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, c)$ be a quasi-braided pre-tensor category. Then the hom-tensor category $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C})$ constructed in Proposition 3.4 is a hom-braided category, with hom-braiding defined by

$$d_{(M,\alpha_M),(N,\alpha_N)} : (M, \alpha_M) \otimes (N, \alpha_N) \to (N, \alpha_N) \otimes (M, \alpha_M),$$
$$d_{(M,\alpha_M),(N,\alpha_N)} := (\alpha_N \otimes \alpha_M) \circ c_{M,N} = c_{M,N} \circ (\alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N),$$

for all objects (M, α_M), (N, α_N) in $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C})$.

PROOF. We only prove that the first hexagonal relation satisfied by $c_{-,-}$, namely

$$a_{V,W,U} \circ c_{U,V\otimes W} \circ a_{U,V,W} = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ a_{V,U,W} \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_W), \tag{28}$$

for all $U, V, W \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, implies property (H1) for $d_{-,-}$ and leave the rest of the proof to the reader.

Let $(U, \alpha_U), (V, \alpha_V), (W, \alpha_W) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{C}))$. We compute, by applying repeatedly the fact that $\otimes$ is a functor, the naturality of $c_{-,-}$ and the naturality of $a_{-,-,-}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{id}_{(V,\alpha_V)} \otimes d_{(U,\alpha_U),(W,\alpha_W)}) \circ a_{(V,\alpha_V),(U,\alpha_U),(W,\alpha_W)} \circ (d_{(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{(W,\alpha_W)}) \\
&\quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes ((\alpha_W \otimes \alpha_U) \circ c_{U,W})) \circ a_{V,U,W} \circ ((c_{U,V} \circ (\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V)) \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \\
&\quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (\mathrm{id}_W \otimes \alpha_U)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (\alpha_W \otimes \mathrm{id}_U)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ a_{V,U,W} \\
&\qquad \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \circ ((\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V) \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \\
&\quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (\mathrm{id}_W \otimes \alpha_U)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (\mathrm{id}_U \otimes \alpha_W)) \circ a_{V,U,W} \\
&\qquad \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \circ ((\alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V) \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \\
&\quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (\mathrm{id}_W \otimes \alpha_U)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ a_{V,U,W} \circ ((\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_U) \otimes \alpha_W)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ(c_{U,V}\otimes \mathrm{id}_W)\circ((\alpha_U\otimes\alpha_V)\otimes \mathrm{id}_W)\\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_V\otimes(\mathrm{id}_W\otimes\alpha_U))\circ(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes c_{U,W})\circ a_{V,U,W}\circ(c_{U,V}\otimes \mathrm{id}_W)\circ((\alpha_U\otimes\alpha_V)\otimes\alpha_W)\\
\overset{(28)}{=} \;& (\mathrm{id}_V\otimes(\mathrm{id}_W\otimes\alpha_U))\circ a_{V,W,U}\circ c_{U,V\otimes W}\circ a_{U,V,W}\circ((\alpha_U\otimes\alpha_V)\otimes\alpha_W)\\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_V\otimes(\mathrm{id}_W\otimes\alpha_U))\circ a_{V,W,U}\circ c_{U,V\otimes W}\circ(\alpha_U\otimes(\alpha_V\otimes\alpha_W))\circ a_{U,V,W}\\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_{(V,\alpha_V)}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{(W,\alpha_W)}\otimes\Phi_{(U,\alpha_U)}))\circ a_{(V,\alpha_V),(W,\alpha_W),(U,\alpha_U)}\\
& \circ d_{(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V)\otimes(W,\alpha_W)}\circ a_{(U,\alpha_U),(V,\alpha_V),(W,\alpha_W)},
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof. □

The following proposition gives the connection between hom-braided categories and quasitriangular hom-bialgebras.

PROPOSITION 5.6. Let $(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H)$ be a hom-bialgebra and take $\mathscr{H}$ to be the hom-tensor category described in Proposition 3.5. Let $R=\sum_i s_i\otimes t_i\in H\otimes H$ and assume that $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R=(\psi_H\otimes\psi_H)(R)$. Consider the two statements (A) and (B) below. The we have that (A) implies (B) and if H is strongly nondegenerate then (B) implies (A).

(A) $(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H,R)$ is a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra.

(B) The category $\mathscr{H}=(H\textbf{-mod},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi,c)$ is a hom-braided category with hom-braiding c given as follows. For two objects (U,α_U) and (V,α_V), we have $c_{U,V}:(U,\alpha_U)\otimes(V,\alpha_V)\to(V^\alpha,\alpha_{V^\alpha})\otimes(U^\alpha,\alpha_{U^\alpha})$ defined for all $u\in U$ and $v\in V$ by

$$
c_{U,V}(u\otimes v)=(\tau_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha})(R(u\otimes v))=\sum_i(t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{v})\otimes(s_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{u}). \tag{29}
$$

PROOF. $(A)\Rightarrow(B)$ Suppose that $(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H,R)$ is a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra such that $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R=(\psi_H\otimes\psi_H)(R)$; in particular we have

$$
\sum_i\alpha_H(s_i)\otimes\alpha_H(t_i)=\sum_i s_i\otimes t_i. \tag{30}
$$

Let (U,α_U) and (V,α_V) be objects in H-**mod** and let $u\in U$, $v\in V$. We claim that $c_{U,V}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{H}$. First we check that $(\alpha_{V^\alpha\otimes U^\alpha})(c_{U,V})(u\otimes v)=(c_{U,V})(\alpha_{U\otimes V})(u\otimes v)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{V^\alpha\otimes U^\alpha})(c_{U,V})(u\otimes v) &= (\alpha_{V^\alpha}\otimes\alpha_{U^\alpha})(c_{U,V})(u\otimes v)\\
&\overset{(29)}{=} (\alpha_{V^\alpha}\otimes\alpha_{U^\alpha})\left(\sum_i(t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{v})\otimes(s_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{u})\right)\\
&= \sum_i\alpha_{V^\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)}\cdot v\right)\otimes\alpha_{U^\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)}\cdot u\right)\\
&= \sum_i\alpha_V\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)}\cdot v\right)\otimes\alpha_U\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)}\cdot u\right)\\
&\overset{(10)}{=} \sum_i\alpha_H^2\left(\widetilde{t_i)\cdot\alpha_V}(v)\right)\otimes\alpha_H^2\left(\widetilde{s_i)\cdot\alpha_U}(u)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(c_{U,V})(\alpha_{U\otimes V})(u\otimes v) &= (c_{U,V})(\alpha_U\otimes\alpha_V)(u\otimes v)=c_{U,V}(\alpha_U(u)\otimes\alpha_V(v))\\
&\overset{(29)}{=} \sum_i\left(t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{\alpha_V(v)}\right)\otimes\left(s_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{\alpha_U(u)}\right)\\
&\overset{(30)}{=} \sum_i\left(\alpha_H(t_i)\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{\alpha_V(v)}\right)\otimes\left(\alpha_H(s_i)\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{\alpha_U(u)}\right)\\
&= \sum_i\alpha_H^2\left(\widetilde{t_i)\cdot\alpha_V}(v)\right)\otimes\alpha_H^2\left(\widetilde{s_i)\cdot\alpha_U}(u)\right),\quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h\in H$, $u\in U$ and $v\in V$. We next check that $(c_{U,V})(h\cdot(u\otimes v))=h\cdot(c_{U,V})(u\otimes v)$:

$$
(c_{U,V})(h\cdot(u\otimes v)) = (c_{U,V})\left(\sum(h_{(1)}\cdot u)\otimes(h_{(2)}\cdot v)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(29)}{=} (\tau_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha})\left(\sum_i \left(s_i \cdot_\alpha \left(\widetilde{h_{(1)}\cdot u}\right)\right)\otimes\left(t_i\cdot_\alpha\left(\widetilde{h_{(2)}\cdot v}\right)\right)\right)\\
&= (\tau_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha})\left(\sum_i \left(\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\widetilde{\cdot\left(h_{(1)}\cdot u\right)}\right)\otimes\left(\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\widetilde{\cdot\left(h_{(2)}\cdot v\right)}\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} (\tau_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha})\left(\sum_i \left(\widetilde{\left(s_ih_{(1)}\right)\cdot\alpha_U}\left(u\right)\right)\otimes\left(\widetilde{\left(t_ih_{(2)}\right)\cdot\alpha_V}\left(v\right)\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(17)}{=} (\tau_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha})\left(\sum_i \left(\widetilde{\left(h_{(2)}s_i\right)\cdot\alpha_U}\left(u\right)\right)\otimes\left(\widetilde{\left(h_{(1)}t_i\right)\cdot\alpha_V}\left(v\right)\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum_i \left(\alpha_H\left(\widetilde{h_{(1)}\cdot}\left(t_i\cdot v\right)\right)\otimes\left(\alpha_H\left(\widetilde{h_{(2)}\cdot}\left(s_i\cdot u\right)\right)\right.\right.\\
&= \sum_i \left(h_{(1)}\cdot_\alpha\left(\widetilde{t_i\cdot v}\right)\right)\otimes\left(h_{(2)}\cdot_\alpha\left(\widetilde{s_i\cdot u}\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(30)}{=} h\cdot\left(\sum_i\left(\alpha_H\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)}\cdot v\right)\otimes\left(\alpha_H\widetilde{\left(s_i\right)}\cdot u\right)\right)\\
&= h\cdot\left(\sum_i\left(t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{v}\right)\otimes\left(s_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{u}\right)\right)=h\cdot c_{U,V}\left(u\otimes v\right),\quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $c_{U,V}$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{H}$. A similar computation shows the naturality of c.

Next we will confirm that $c_{U,V}$ as it is defined satisfies the (H1) property. That is, we need to show that

$$
(\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha}\circ c_{U^\psi,V\otimes W}\circ a_{U,V,W}=(\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes c_{U^\alpha,W})\circ a_{V^\alpha,U^\alpha,W}\circ(c_{U,V}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{W^\psi}) \tag{31}
$$

for all objects (U,α_U), (V,α_V) and (W,α_W) in H-**mod**.

Let $u\in U$, $v\in V$ and $w\in W$. Computing the left hand side of (31) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha}\circ c_{U^\psi,V\otimes W}\circ a_{U,V,W}\left((u\otimes v)\otimes\overline{w}\right)\\
&= \left((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha}\circ c_{U^\psi,V\otimes W}\right)\left(\overline{u}\otimes(v\otimes w)\right)\\
&\overset{(29)}{=} ((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha})\left(\sum_i\left(t_i\cdot_\alpha\left(\widetilde{v\otimes w}\right)\right)\otimes\left(s_i\cdot_{\alpha\psi}\widetilde{\overline{u}}\right)\right)\\
&= ((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha})\left(\sum_i\left(\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\widetilde{\cdot\left(v\otimes w\right)}\right)\otimes\left(\widetilde{\overline{\alpha_H\psi_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot u}}\right)\right)\\
&= ((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\circ a_{V^\alpha,W^\alpha,U^\alpha})\\
&\quad\left(\left(\sum_i\left(\alpha_H\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)}\right)_{(1)}\cdot v\otimes\left(\alpha_H\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)}\right)_{(2)}\cdot w\right)\otimes\left(\overline{\psi_H\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot u}}\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(30)}{=} (\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes(\mathrm{id}_{W^\alpha}\otimes\Phi_{U^\alpha}))\left(\sum_i\overline{\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)_{(1)}\cdot v}}\otimes\left(\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)_{(2)}}\cdot w\otimes\psi_H\widetilde{\left(s_i\right)}\cdot u\right)\right)\\
&\overset{(10)}{=} \sum_i\overline{\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)_{(1)}\cdot v}}\otimes\left(\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)_{(2)}}\cdot w\otimes\alpha_H\psi_H\widetilde{\widetilde{\left(s_i\right)}\cdot\alpha_U(u)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the right hand side of (31) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes c_{U^\alpha,W})\circ a_{V^\alpha,U^\alpha,W}\circ(c_{U,V}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{W^\psi})\left((u\otimes v)\otimes\overline{w}\right)\\
&\overset{(29)}{=} ((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\otimes c_{U^\alpha,W})\circ a_{V^\alpha,U^\alpha,W})\left(\left(\sum_i t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{v}\otimes s_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{u}\right)\otimes\bar{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= ((\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}} \otimes c_{U^\alpha,W}) \circ a_{V^\alpha,U^\alpha,W}) \left(\left(\sum_i \widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v \otimes \widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)} \cdot u \right) \otimes \bar{w} \right) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}} \otimes c_{U^\alpha,W}) \left(\sum_i \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v} \otimes \left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)} \cdot u \otimes w \right) \right) \\
&\overset{(29)}{=} \sum_{i,j} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v} \otimes \left(t_j \cdot_\alpha \widetilde{w} \otimes s_j \cdot_{\alpha^2} \left(\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)}} \cdot u \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v} \otimes \left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_j)} \cdot w \otimes \alpha_H^2(s_j) \cdot \widetilde{\widetilde{(\alpha_H(s_i)}} \cdot u) \right) \\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum_{i,j} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v} \otimes \left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_j)} \cdot w \otimes (\alpha_H(s_j) \cdot \widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)}}) \cdot \alpha_U(u) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot v} \otimes \left(\widetilde{\alpha_H(t_j)} \cdot w \otimes (\alpha_H(\widetilde{\widetilde{s_j s_i}})) \cdot \alpha_U(u) \right) \\
&\overset{(30)}{=} \sum_{i,j} \overline{\widetilde{t_i \cdot v}} \otimes \left(\widetilde{t_j \cdot w} \otimes \widetilde{\widetilde{(s_j s_i)}} \cdot \alpha_U(u) \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now since $(\alpha_H \psi_H \otimes \Delta)(R) = \sum_{i,j} s_i s_j \otimes t_j \otimes t_i$ by Remark 2.12, the left hand side and the right hand side of (31) agree. So $c_{U,V}$ has the (H1) property.

Next we will confirm that $c_{U,V}$ satisfies the (H2) property. That is, we need to show that

$$
((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha} \circ c_{U\otimes V,W^\psi} \circ a^{-1}_{U,V,W} = (c_{U,W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{U,W^\alpha,V^\alpha} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U^\psi} \otimes c_{V,W}) \tag{32}
$$

for all objects (U, α_U), (V, α_V) and (W, α_W) in H-**mod**.

Let $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. For the left hand side of (32) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha} \circ c_{U\otimes V,W^\psi} \circ a^{-1}_{U,V,W} \right) (\overline{u} \otimes (v \otimes w)) \\
&\quad = \left(((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha} \circ c_{U\otimes V,W^\psi} \right) ((u \otimes v) \otimes \overline{w}) \\
&\quad \overset{(29)}{=} \left(((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_i t_i \cdot_{\alpha\psi} \widetilde{\overline{w}} \otimes s_i \cdot_\alpha \left(\widetilde{u \otimes v} \right) \right) \\
&\quad = \left(((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_i \overline{\widetilde{\psi_H \widetilde{\alpha_H(t_i)} \cdot w}} \otimes \left(\widetilde{(\alpha_H(s_i))}_{(1)} \cdot u \otimes \widetilde{(\alpha_H(s_i))}_{(2)} \cdot v \right) \right) \\
&\quad \overset{(30)}{=} ((\Phi_{W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \left(\left(\sum_i \widetilde{\psi_H(t_i)} \cdot w \otimes \widetilde{(s_i)}_{(1)} \cdot u \right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{(s_i)}_{(2)} \cdot v} \right) \\
&\quad \overset{(10)}{=} \left(\sum_i \alpha_H \psi_H \widetilde{\widetilde{(t_i)}} \cdot \alpha_W(w) \otimes \widetilde{(s_i)}_{(1)} \cdot u \right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{(s_i)}_{(2)} \cdot v}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the right hand side of (32) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left((c_{U,W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{U,W^\alpha,V^\alpha} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{U^\psi} \otimes c_{V,W}) \right) (\overline{u} \otimes (v \otimes w)) \\
&\quad \overset{(29)}{=} \left((c_{U,W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \circ a^{-1}_{U,W^\alpha,V^\alpha} \right) \left(\overline{u} \otimes \left(\sum_i t_i \cdot_\alpha \widetilde{w} \otimes s_i \cdot_\alpha \widetilde{v} \right) \right) \\
&\quad = (c_{U,W^\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}) \left(\left(u \otimes \sum_i \alpha_H \widetilde{(t_i)} \cdot w \right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H(s_i)} \cdot v} \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(29)}{=} \sum_{i,j}\left(t_j \cdot_{\alpha^2} \widetilde{\left(\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\cdot w\right)} \otimes s_j \cdot_\alpha \widetilde{u}\right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot v}}\\
&= \sum_{i,j}\left(\alpha_H^2(t_j)\cdot \widetilde{\left(\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\cdot w\right)} \otimes \widetilde{\alpha_H(s_j)\cdot u}\right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot v}}\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum_{i,j}\left(\widetilde{\left(\alpha_H(t_j)\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\right)}\cdot \alpha_W(w) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha_H(s_j)\cdot u}\right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot v}}\\
&\overset{(30)}{=} \sum_{i,j}\left(\widetilde{\left(t_jt_i\right)\cdot \alpha_W}(w) \otimes \widetilde{s_j\cdot u}\right) \otimes \overline{\widetilde{s_i\cdot v}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\Delta\otimes\alpha_H\psi_H\right)(R)=\sum_{i,j} s_i\otimes s_j\otimes t_it_j$ by Remark 2.12, $c_{U,V}$ satisfies the (H2) condition.

We show that $c_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha}=G\left(c_{U,V}\right)$, for all objects (U,α_U), (V,α_V) in H-**mod** and $u\in U$, $v\in V$:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha}\left(\widetilde{u}\otimes\widetilde{v}\right) &= \sum_i\left(t_i\cdot_{\alpha^2}\widetilde{\widetilde{v}}\right)\otimes\left(s_i\cdot_{\alpha^2}\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}\right) = \sum_i\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H^2\left(t_i\right)\cdot v}\right)\otimes\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H^2\left(s_i\right)\cdot u}\right),\\
G\left(c_{U,V}\right)\left(\widetilde{u}\otimes\widetilde{v}\right) &= \widetilde{c_{U,V}\left(u\otimes v\right)} = \sum_i\left(t_i\cdot_\alpha\widetilde{v}\right)\otimes\widetilde{\left(s_i\cdot_\alpha u\right)} = \sum_i\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(t_i\right)\cdot v}\right)\otimes\left(\widetilde{\alpha_H\left(s_i\right)\cdot u}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H\right)(R)=R$ we get $c_{U^\alpha,V^\alpha}=G\left(c_{U,V}\right)$ and so $c_{U,V}$ is a hom-braiding in $\mathscr{H}$. Therefore $\mathscr{H}$ is a hom-braided category.

$(B)\Rightarrow(A)$ Suppose that $\mathscr{H}=(H\text{-}\mathbf{mod},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi,c)$ is a hom-braided category, (H,m_H,α_H) is a strongly nondegenerate hom-associative algebra and let $R\in H\otimes H$ be given as $R=\sum_i s_i\otimes t_i$ such that $\left(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H\right)(R)=R=\left(\psi_H\otimes\psi_H\right)(R)$. We will show that conditions (14), (15) and (16) from Definition 2.10 are satisfied for $H=(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H,R)$.

First we will show that H satisfies condition (14). Let (U,α_U) and (V,α_V) be objects in $\mathscr{H}$. Since $\mathscr{H}$ is a hom-braided category it means that the hom-braiding $c_{U,V}$ is a morphism of left H-modules. That is, for $h\in H$, $u\in U$, and $v\in V$, we have $c_{U,V}\left(h\cdot\left(u\otimes v\right)\right)=h\cdot c_{U,V}\left(\left(u\otimes v\right)\right)$. Just like above, for the left hand side of this equality we have $c_{U,V}\left(h\cdot\left(u\otimes v\right)\right)=\sum_i\widetilde{\left(t_ih_{(2)}\right)\cdot\alpha_V\left(v\right)}\otimes\widetilde{\left(s_ih_{(1)}\right)\cdot\alpha_U\left(u\right)}$, and for the right hand side we have $h\cdot c_{U,V}\left(u\otimes v\right)=\sum_i\left(h_{(1)}t_i\right)\cdot\alpha_V\left(v\right)\otimes\widetilde{\left(h_{(2)}s_i\right)\cdot\alpha_U\left(u\right)}$, and so we must have

$$\sum_i\left(t_ih_{(2)}\right)\cdot\alpha_V\left(v\right)\otimes\left(s_ih_{(1)}\right)\cdot\alpha_U\left(u\right)=\sum_i\left(h_{(1)}t_i\right)\cdot\alpha_V\left(v\right)\otimes\left(h_{(2)}s_i\right)\cdot\alpha_U\left(u\right).$$

Since H is strongly nondegenerate and by using Lemma 2.14, this equation implies that $\sum_i t_ih_{(2)}\otimes s_ih_{(1)}=\sum_i h_{(1)}t_i\otimes h_{(2)}s_i$, or equivalently $R\Delta\left(h\right)=\Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}\left(h\right)R$, for every $h\in H$. Thus, R satisfies (14).

Next we will show that R satisfies conditions (15) and (16). One can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left(\left(\Phi_{W^\alpha}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{U^\alpha}\right)\otimes\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\right)\circ a^{-1}_{W^\alpha,U^\alpha,V^\alpha}\circ c_{U\otimes V,W^\psi}\circ a^{-1}_{U,V,W}\right)\left(\overline{u}\otimes\left(v\otimes w\right)\right)\\
&\qquad= \left(\sum_i\alpha_H\psi_H\widetilde{\left(t_i\right)\cdot\alpha_W(w)}\otimes\widetilde{\left(s_i\right)_{(1)}\cdot u}\right)\otimes\overline{\widetilde{\left(s_i\right)_{(2)}\cdot v}},\\
&\left(\left(c_{U,W^\alpha}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{V^{\psi\alpha}}\right)\circ a^{-1}_{U,W^\alpha,V^\alpha}\circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{U^\psi}\otimes c_{V,W}\right)\right)\left(\overline{u}\otimes\left(v\otimes w\right)\right)\\
&\qquad= \sum_{i,j}\left(\widetilde{\left(t_jt_i\right)\cdot\alpha_W}(w)\otimes\widetilde{s_j\cdot u}\right)\otimes\overline{\widetilde{s_i\cdot v}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_{U,V}$ satisfies the (H2) property and H is strongly nondegenerate, by using again Lemma 2.14 we obtain $\left(\Delta_H\otimes\alpha_H\psi_H\right)(R)=\sum_{i,j}s_i\otimes s_j\otimes t_it_j$. Similarly one can show that $\left(\alpha_H\psi_H\otimes\Delta_H\right)(R)=\sum_{i,j}s_is_j\otimes t_j\otimes t_i$. Therefore, by Remark 2.12, (H,R) is a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra. □

6. The Hom-Yang-Baxter Equation

D. Yau introduced the *hom-Yang-Baxter equation* in [**26**] from which we recall the following definition.

DEFINITION 6.1. Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space, $\alpha_V : V \to V$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map and $d_V : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map such that $(\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_V) \circ d_V = d_V \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_V)$. We say that d_V is a solution to the *hom-Yang-Baxter equation with respect to* α_V if it satisfies the following condition:

$$(d_V \otimes \alpha_V) \circ (\alpha_V \otimes d_V) \circ (d_V \otimes \alpha_V) = (\alpha_V \otimes d_V) \circ (d_V \otimes \alpha_V) \circ (\alpha_V \otimes d_V)\,. \tag{33}$$

The goal of this section is to describe two categorical versions of Definition 6.1. The following lemma will be useful in proving some of these results.

LEMMA 6.2. *Assume that d is a hom-commutativity constraint as in Definition 5.1. Then the commutative diagram in Figure 6 is equivalent to the commutative diagram in Figure 5. We will call the equation from Figure 6 the $\left(H'2\right)$ property of the hom-commutativity constraint d.*



FIGURE 6. The $\left(\mathrm{H}'2\right)$ property

PROOF. First observe that the commutative diagrams for the (H2) property and the $\left(\mathrm{H}'2\right)$ property are identical except on two of their edges and the object between those edges. These exceptions are indicated by bold edges in Figure 6. So it suffices to show that the diagram in Figure 7 commutes. The hom-associativity constraint a is a natural isomorphism, so a^{-1} is also natural and the diagram in Figure 7 is a particular case of the naturality of a^{-1} plus the fact that $F(\Phi_{G(W)}) = \Phi_{FG(W)}$. Thus the diagram in Figure 7 commutes and we have the equivalence between the properties (H2) and $\left(\mathrm{H}'2\right)$. □


$FG(W) \otimes (G(U) \otimes G(V))$
$a^{-1}_{G(W),G(U),G(V)}$
$(G(W) \otimes G(U)) \otimes FG(V)$
$\Phi_{GF(W)} \otimes \left(\mathrm{id}_{G(U)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{G(V)}\right)$
$\left(\Phi_{G(W)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{G(U)}\right) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{FG(V)}$
$FG^2(W) \otimes (G(U) \otimes G(V))$
$a^{-1}_{G^2(W),G(U),G(V)}$
$\left(G^2(W) \otimes G(U)\right) \otimes FG(V)$

FIGURE 7. Naturality of a^{-1} and $F(\Phi_{G(W)}) = \Phi_{FG(W)}$

DEFINITION 6.3. We say that a hom-commutativity constraint d has the *hom-Yang-Baxter property* if d satisfies the equation in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8. The hom-Yang-Baxter property

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ be a a hom-braided category. Then d has the hom-Yang-Baxter property.

PROOF. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ be a hom-braided category. The commutative diagram in Figure 6 together with the hom-associativity constraint a being an isomorphism implies that the diagram in Figure 9 commutes for all objects U, V, W in $\mathscr{C}$. Observe that the commutative diagram in Figure 9 is precisely the bold portion of the diagram in Figure 11.

Next, consider substituting the object $G(V)$ for U and the object $G(U)$ for V in the diagram of Figure 9. Then the commutative diagram in Figure 9 implies that the diagram in Figure 10 commutes for all objects U, V, W in $\mathscr{C}$. Observe that the commutative diagram in Figure 10 is precisely the dashed portion of the diagram seen in Figure 11. Thus, to prove that the diagram in Figure 8 commutes it suffices to show that the outermost perimeter of the diagram seen in Figure 12 commutes.

Indeed, the square diagram indicated by **1**# in Figure 12 commutes as a consequence of the naturality of d and the fact that $G(d_{U,V}) = d_{G(U),G(V)}$. While the diagram indicated by **2**# in Figure 12 commutes because $\otimes$ is a functor. Therefore, the diagram in Figure 8 commutes. □

Next we introduce a variation on the definition of hom-braided categories.

DEFINITION 6.5. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. We say that a hom-commutativity constraint d (as in Definition 5.1) satisfies the *(wH1) property* if the diagram in Figure 13 commutes for all objects U, V and W of the category $\mathscr{C}$. Furthermore, we say that a hom-commutativity constraint d satisfies the *(wH2) property* if the diagram in Figure 14 commutes for all objects U, V and W of the category $\mathscr{C}$.



FIGURE 9. The commutative bold portion of Figure 11



FIGURE 10. The commutative dashed portion of Figure 11

DEFINITION 6.6. Let $\mathscr{C}=(\mathcal{C},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. A *weak hom-braiding* d in $\mathscr{C}$ is a hom-commutativity constraint with the following conditions:

(i) d satisfies (wH1) and (wH2);
(ii) For all objects U and V in the category $\mathscr{C}$, $G(d_{U,V})=d_{G(U),G(V)}$.

A *weakly hom-braided category* $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi,d)$ is a hom-tensor category with weak hom-braiding d.

Using arguments similar to those found in the proof for Lemma 6.2, one can show the following.

LEMMA 6.7. *The commutative diagram in Figure 15 is equivalent to the (wH2) property of a hom-commutativity constraint d. We call the relation in Figure 15 the $\left(wH'2\right)$ property of d.*



FIGURE 11. Proof of the hom-Yang-Baxter property



FIGURE 12. Naturality for d and $G(d_{U,V}) = d_{G(U),G(V)}$

DEFINITION 6.8. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category and d a hom-commutativity constraint. We say that d has the *weak hom-Yang-Baxter property* if d satisfies the equation in Figure 16.

PROPOSITION 6.9. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ be a weakly hom-braided category. Then d has the weak hom-Yang-Baxter property.

PROOF. Let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ be a weakly hom-braided category. The commutative diagram in Figure 15 together with the hom-associativity constraint a being an isomorphism implies that the diagram in Figure 17 commutes for all objects U, V, W in $\mathscr{C}$. Observe that the commutative diagram in Figure 17 is precisely the bold portion of the diagram seen in Figure 19.



FIGURE 13. The (wH1) property



FIGURE 14. The (wH2) property

Next, consider substituting the object $G(V)$ for U, the object $G(U)$ for V and the object $G(W)$ for W in the diagram of Figure 17. Then the commutative diagram in Figure 17 implies that the diagram in Figure 18 commutes for all objects U, V, W in $\mathscr{C}$. Observe that the commutative diagram in Figure 18 is precisely



FIGURE 15. The commutative diagram for the $\left(\mathrm{wH}'2\right)$ property



FIGURE 16. The weak hom-Yang-Baxter property

the dashed portion of the diagram in Figure 19. Thus, to prove that the diagram in Figure 16 commutes it suffices to show that the outermost perimeter of the diagram in Figure 20 commutes.

Indeed, the square diagram labeled **1**# in Figure 20 commutes as a consequence of the naturality of d and the fact that $G(\Phi_{F(W)}) = \Phi_{GF(W)}$ and $G(d_{U,V}) = d_{G(U),G(V)}$. The square diagram labeled **2**# commutes because $\otimes$ is a functor, d is natural and $G(\Phi_{G(V)}) = \Phi_{G^2(V)}$. Thus, the diagram in Figure 16 commutes. $\square$



FIGURE 17. The commutative bold portion of Figure 19

We can give now the connection between hom-braided categories and weakly hom-braided categories.

PROPOSITION 6.10. If $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ is a hom-braided category then $\mathscr{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, F, G, a, \Phi, d)$ is a weakly hom-braided category.

PROOF. After comparing Definitions 5.3 and 6.6 for hom-braiding and weak hom-braiding, it suffices to show that the (H1) property implies the (wH1) property and the (H2) property implies the (wH2) property.



FIGURE 18. The commutative dashed portion of Figure 19



FIGURE 19. Proof of the weak hom-Yang-Baxter property



FIGURE 20. Final step in the proof of Proposition 6.9

We prove that the (H1) property implies the (wH1) property. Observe that the outermost perimeter of the diagram in Figure 21, indicated by a dashed line, is the commutative diagram of the (H1) property from Figure 4. In addition, observe that the innermost 7-gon of the diagram in Figure 21, indicated by the bold line, is the diagram of the (wH1) property as seen in Figure 13. The plan is to show that each of the square portions of this diagram, labeled $\mathbf{id}_1$, $\mathbf{id}_2$, $\mathbf{id}_3$, $\mathbf{1\#}$, $\mathbf{2\#}$, $\mathbf{3\#}$ and $\mathbf{4\#}$ commute. Once this is established then the assumed commutativity of the dashed portion of the diagram in Figure 21, and the fact that $(\mathrm{id}_U\otimes\mathrm{id}_V)\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F(W)}$ is an isomorphism, will imply the commutativity of the bold portion. That is, the (H1) property implies the (wH1) property.

Clearly, the square portions labeled $\mathbf{id}_1$, $\mathbf{id}_2$ and $\mathbf{id}_3$ of the diagram in Figure 21 commute. Additionally, both the square portions labeled **1#** and **4#** commute since $\otimes$ is a functor. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{U,V}\otimes\Phi_{F(W)} &= \left(\left(\mathrm{id}_{G(V)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{G(U)}\right)\otimes\Phi_{F(W)}\right)\left(d_{U,V}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F(W)}\right)\\ &= \left(d_{U,V}\otimes\Phi_{F(W)}\right)\left(\left(\mathrm{id}_U\otimes\mathrm{id}_V\right)\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F(W)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\Phi_{G(W)}\otimes\Phi_{G(U)}\right) &= \left(\Phi_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\Phi_{G(W)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{G^2(U)}\right)\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{G(W)}\otimes\Phi_{G(U)}\right)\right)\\ &= \left(\Phi_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\Phi_{G(W)}\otimes\Phi_{G(U)}\right)\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{G(W)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{G(U)}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the square portion labeled **2#** commutes since the hom-associativity constraint a is natural and $F(\Phi_W)=\Phi_{F(W)}$. Finally, the square portion labeled **3#** commutes since the hom-commutativity constraint d is natural and $G(\Phi_W)=\Phi_{G(W)}$. Indeed, we have $\left(G(\Phi_W)\otimes\mathrm{id}_{G^2(U)}\right)\circ d_{G(U),W}=d_{G(U),G(W)}\circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{G(U)}\otimes\Phi_W\right)$ by the naturality of d, and if we use that $\Phi_{G(W)}=G(\Phi_W)$ we have that

$$\Phi_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(\left(\Phi_{G(W)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{G^2(U)}\right)\circ d_{G(U),W}\right) = \Phi_{FG(V)}\otimes\left(d_{G(U),G(W)}\circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{G(U)}\otimes\Phi_W\right)\right),$$

which gives **3#**, by using again the fact that $\otimes$ is a functor.

A similar argument shows that the (H2) property implies the (wH2) property. □

Remark 6.11. The above proof (i.e. the diagram in Figure 21) also shows that the converse of Proposition 6.10 is true if the natural transformation Φ is assumed to be an isomorphism.

We recall the following result ([**29**], Theorem 4.4).

Proposition 6.12. Let $(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\alpha_H,R)$ be a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra such that $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R$ and (M,α_M) a left H-module. Then the linear map $B:M\otimes M\to M\otimes M$, $B(m\otimes m')=\sum_i t_i\cdot m'\otimes s_i\cdot m$, for all $m,m'\in M$ (where we denoted as before $R=\sum_i t_i\otimes s_i$) is a solution of the hom-Yang-Baxter equation with respect to α_M.

We want to obtain (a more general version of) this result as a consequence of the theory we developed.

Proposition 6.13. Let $(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H,R)$ be a quasitriangular hom-bialgebra, with notation $R=\sum_i t_i\otimes s_i$, such that $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R=(\psi_H\otimes\psi_H)(R)$. If (M,α_M) is a left H-module, then the linear map $B:M\otimes M\to M\otimes M$, $B(m\otimes m')=\sum_i t_i\cdot m'\otimes s_i\cdot m$, for all $m,m'\in M$, is a solution of the hom-Yang-Baxter equation with respect to α_M.

Proof. By Proposition 5.6, the linear map $d_{U,V}:U\otimes V\to G(V)\otimes G(U)$, defined by $d_{U,V}(u\otimes v)=\sum_i t_i\cdot v\otimes s_i\cdot u$, is a hom-braiding. By Proposition 6.10, it is also a weak hom-braiding, so, by Proposition 6.9, the diagram in Figure 16 is commutative. We write the diagram in Figure 16 with $U=V=W=M$ and we note that, since $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R$, we have $d_{M,M}=d_{G(M),G(M)}=d_{G^2(M),G^2(M)}=B$. So, the commutativity of the diagram in Figure 16 reads

$$(B\otimes\alpha_M)\circ(\alpha_M\otimes B)\circ(B\otimes\alpha_M)=(\alpha_M\otimes B)\circ(B\otimes\alpha_M)\circ(\alpha_M\otimes B),$$

which is exactly the hom-Yang-Baxter equation for B with respect to α_M. □

7. Yetter-Drinfeld modules

Throughout this section, $H=(H,m_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,\psi_H)$ will be a hom-bialgebra for which $\alpha_H=\psi_H$ and α_H is bijective. We recall the following concept and results from [**18**].

Definition 7.1. Let M be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space and $\alpha_M:M\to M$ a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map such that (M,α_M) is a left H-module with action $H\otimes M\to M$, $h\otimes m\mapsto h\cdot m$ and a left H-comodule with coaction $M\to H\otimes M$, $m\mapsto\sum m_{(-1)}\otimes m_{(0)}$. Then (M,α_M) is called a (left-left) *Yetter-Drinfeld module* over H if the following identity holds, for all $h\in H$, $m\in M$:

$$\sum(h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(-1)}\alpha_H^2(h_{(2)})\otimes(h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(0)}=\sum\alpha_H^2(h_{(1)})\alpha_H(m_{(-1)})\otimes\alpha_H(h_{(2)})\cdot m_{(0)}. \tag{34}$$

We denote by ${}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$ (respectively ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$) the category whose objects are Yetter-Drinfeld modules (M,α_M) over H (respectively Yetter-Drinfeld modules (M,α_M) over H with α_M bijective); the morphisms in each of these categories are morphisms of left H-modules and left H-comodules.



FIGURE 21. The (H1) property implies the (wH1) property

PROPOSITION 7.2. Let (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) be two Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H, with notation as above, and define the $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps

$$H\otimes(M\otimes N)\to M\otimes N,\quad h\otimes(m\otimes n)\mapsto\sum h_{(1)}\cdot m\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot n,$$
$$M\otimes N\to H\otimes(M\otimes N),\quad m\otimes n\mapsto\sum\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)}n_{(-1)})\otimes(m_{(0)}\otimes n_{(0)}).$$

Then $(M\otimes N,\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_N)$ with these structures is a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H, denoted by $M\hat{\otimes}N$.

PROPOSITION 7.3. ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$ is a quasi-braided category, with tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ and associativity constraints and quasi-braiding defined, for (M,α_M), (N,α_N), (P,α_P) objects in ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$ by

$$b_{M,N,P}:(M\hat{\otimes}N)\hat{\otimes}P\to M\hat{\otimes}(N\hat{\otimes}P),\quad b_{M,N,P}((m\otimes n)\otimes p)=\alpha_M^{-1}(m)\otimes(n\otimes\alpha_P(p)),$$
$$c_{M,N}:M\hat{\otimes}N\to N\hat{\otimes}M,\quad c_{M,N}(m\otimes n)=\sum\alpha_N^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)})\cdot n)\otimes\alpha_M^{-1}(m_{(0)}).$$

PROPOSITION 7.4. Let (M,α_M), $(N,\alpha_N)\in{}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$ and define the $\mathbf{k}$-linear map

$$B_{M,N}:M\otimes N\to N\otimes M,\quad B_{M,N}(m\otimes n)=\sum\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)})\cdot n\otimes m_{(0)}. \tag{35}$$

Then, we have $(\alpha_N\otimes\alpha_M)\circ B_{M,N}=B_{M,N}\circ(\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_N)$ and, if (P,α_P) is another Yetter-Drinfeld module over H, the maps $B_{-,-}$ satisfy the hom-Yang-Baxter equation

$$(\alpha_P\otimes B_{M,N})\circ(B_{M,P}\otimes\alpha_N)\circ(\alpha_M\otimes B_{N,P})=(B_{N,P}\otimes\alpha_M)\circ(\alpha_N\otimes B_{M,P})\circ(B_{M,N}\otimes\alpha_P). \tag{36}$$

Our aim now is to prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 7.5. ${}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$ may be organized as a hom-braided category, with tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ and hom-braiding the family of maps $B_{-,-}$ defined by (35).

PROOF. We define the hom-associativity constraints $a_{M,N,P}$, the functors F and G and the natural transformation Φ. Since any Yetter-Drinfeld module over H is in particular a left H-module, a, F, G and Φ will be, at the level of left H-modules, the ones defined in Proposition 3.5 (since we assumed that $\psi_H=\alpha_H$, we actually have $F=G$ in this case). To simplify the notation, we will denote the elements in $F(M)$ by m instead of $\overline{m}$. We need to extend the functor F $(=G)$ from left H-modules to Yetter-Drinfeld modules. If (M,α_M) is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, with left H-comodule structure $M\to H\otimes M$, $m\mapsto\sum m_{(-1)}\otimes m_{(0)}$, then $F(M)$ becomes a left H-comodule with structure $F(M)\to H\otimes F(M)$, $m\mapsto\sum m_{<-1>}\otimes m_{<0>}:=\sum\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)})\otimes m_{(0)}$, and moreover $F(M)$ with these structures becomes a Yetter-Drinfeld module over H and, if $M,N\in{}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$, then $F(M\hat{\otimes}N)=F(M)\hat{\otimes}F(N)$ as objects in ${}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$. Then, $a_{M,N,P}:(M\hat{\otimes}N)\hat{\otimes}F(P)\to F(M)\hat{\otimes}(N\hat{\otimes}P)$ and $\Phi_M:M\to F(M)$ are also morphisms of left H-comodules, and $F(\Phi_M)=\Phi_{F(M)}$, for $M,N,P\in{}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$. The proofs of these statements are similar to those in Proposition 3.5 and will be omitted. The conclusion is that $({}^H_H\mathbb{YD},\hat{\otimes},F,F,a,\Phi)$ is a hom-tensor category.

We begin to prove that the family of maps $B_{-,-}$ is a hom-braiding. First, we need to prove that, for $(M,\alpha_M),(N,\alpha_N)\in{}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$, the maps $B_{M,N}$, regarded as maps from $M\hat{\otimes}N$ to $F(N)\hat{\otimes}F(M)$, are morphisms in ${}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$. We know from Proposition 7.4 that $(\alpha_N\otimes\alpha_M)\circ B_{M,N}=B_{M,N}\circ(\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_N)$. Now we prove that $B_{M,N}(h\cdot(m\otimes n))=h\cdot_\alpha B_{M,N}(m\otimes n)$, for all $h\in H$, $m\in M$, $n\in N$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{M,N}(h\cdot(m\otimes n)) &= B_{M,N}(\sum h_{(1)}\cdot m\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot n)\\
&= \sum\alpha_H^{-1}((h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(-1)})\cdot(h_{(2)}\cdot n)\otimes(h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(0)}\\
&= \sum\alpha_H(\alpha_H^{-2}((h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(-1)}))\cdot(h_{(2)}\cdot n)\otimes(h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(0)}\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum(\alpha_H^{-2}((h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(-1)})h_{(2)})\cdot\alpha_N(n)\otimes(h_{(1)}\cdot m)_{(0)}\\
&\overset{(34)}{=} \sum(h_{(1)}\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}))\cdot\alpha_N(n)\otimes\alpha_H(h_{(2)})\cdot m_{(0)}\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \sum\alpha_H(h_{(1)})\cdot(\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)})\cdot n)\otimes\alpha_H(h_{(2)})\cdot m_{(0)}\\
&= \sum h_{(1)}\cdot_\alpha(\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)})\cdot n)\otimes h_{(2)}\cdot_\alpha m_{(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad h \cdot_\alpha (\sum \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n \otimes m_{(0)}) = h \cdot_\alpha B_{M,N}(m \otimes n), \quad q.e.d.$$

We prove that $B_{M,N}$ is a morphism of left H-comodules, i.e. $(\mathrm{id}_H \otimes B_{M,N}) \circ \lambda_{M \hat{\otimes} N} = \lambda_{F(N) \hat{\otimes} F(M)} \circ B_{M,N}$. We compute, for $m \in M$, $n \in N$:

$\lambda_{F(N)\hat{\otimes}F(M)}(B_{M,N}(m \otimes n))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda_{F(N)\hat{\otimes}F(M)}(\sum \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n \otimes m_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-2}((\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n)_{<-1>}(m_{(0)})_{<-1>}) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n)_{<0>} \otimes (m_{(0)})_{<0>} \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-3}((\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n)_{(-1)}(m_{(0)})_{(-1)}) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes (m_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(13)}{=} \sum \alpha_H^{-3}((\alpha_H^{-2}((m_{(-1)})_{(1)}) \cdot n)_{(-1)}(m_{(-1)})_{(2)}) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-2}((m_{(-1)})_{(1)}) \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_M(m_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-3}((\alpha_H^{-2}((m_{(-1)})_{(1)}) \cdot n)_{(-1)}\alpha_H^2(\alpha_H^{-2}((m_{(-1)})_{(2)}))) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-2}((m_{(-1)})_{(1)}) \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_M(m_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-3}((\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})_{(1)} \cdot n)_{(-1)}\alpha_H^2(\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})_{(2)})) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})_{(1)} \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_M(m_{(0)}) \\
&\overset{(34)}{=} \sum \alpha_H^{-3}(\alpha_H^2(\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})_{(1)})\alpha_H(n_{(-1)})) \otimes \alpha_H(\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})_{(2)}) \cdot n_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_M(m_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-3}((m_{(-1)})_{(1)})\alpha_H^{-2}(n_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}((m_{(-1)})_{(2)}) \cdot n_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_M(m_{(0)}) \\
&\overset{(13)}{=} \sum \alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)})\alpha_H^{-2}(n_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}((m_{(0)})_{(-1)}) \cdot n_{(0)} \otimes (m_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)}n_{(-1)}) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}((m_{(0)})_{(-1)}) \cdot n_{(0)} \otimes (m_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes B_{M,N})(\sum \alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)}n_{(-1)}) \otimes (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)})) = (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes B_{M,N})(\lambda_{M \hat{\otimes} N}(m \otimes n)), \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $B_{-,-}$ is natural is easy to prove and left to the reader. We prove now that $B_{-,-}$ satisfies (H1); to prove that it satisfies (H2) is similar and left to the reader. So, let $(U, \alpha_U), (V, \alpha_V), (W, \alpha_W) \in {}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$ and $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $w \in W$. We compute:

$(\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes B_{F(U),W}) \circ a_{F(V),F(U),W} \circ (B_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F(W)})((u \otimes v) \otimes w)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes B_{F(U),W}) \circ a_{F(V),F(U),W}((\sum \alpha_H^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \cdot v \otimes u_{(0)}) \otimes w) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes B_{F(U),W})(\sum \alpha_H^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \cdot v \otimes (u_{(0)} \otimes w)) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \cdot v \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}((u_{(0)})_{<-1>}) \cdot w \otimes (u_{(0)})_{<0>} \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \cdot v \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((u_{(0)})_{(-1)}) \cdot w \otimes (u_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(13)}{=} \sum \alpha_H^{-2}((u_{(-1)})_{(1)}) \cdot v \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((u_{(-1)})_{(2)}) \cdot w \otimes \alpha_U(u_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-2}(u_{(-1)})_{(1)} \cdot v \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(u_{(-1)})_{(2)} \cdot w \otimes \alpha_U(u_{(0)}) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{F(W)} \otimes \Phi_{F(U)})) \circ a_{F(V),F(W),F(U)}(\sum (\alpha_H^{-2}(u_{(-1)})_{(1)} \cdot v \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(u_{(-1)})_{(2)} \cdot w) \otimes u_{(0)}) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{F(W)} \otimes \Phi_{F(U)})) \circ a_{F(V),F(W),F(U)}(\sum (\alpha_H^{-1}(u_{<-1>}) \cdot (v \otimes w) \otimes u_{<0>}) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{F^2(V)} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{F(W)} \otimes \Phi_{F(U)})) \circ a_{F(V),F(W),F(U)} \circ B_{F(U),V \otimes W} \circ a_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w), \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

The only thing left to prove is that $F(B_{M,N}) = B_{F(M),F(N)}$, for all $(M, \alpha_M), (N, \alpha_N) \in {}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$, that is $B_{M,N} = B_{F(M),F(N)}$. For $m \in M$, $n \in N$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{F(M),F(N)}(m \otimes n) &= \sum \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{<-1>}) \cdot_\alpha n \otimes m_{<0>} = \sum \alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot_\alpha n \otimes m_{(0)} \\
&= \sum \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(-1)}) \cdot n \otimes m_{(0)} = B_{M,N}(m \otimes n),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □



FIGURE 22. The definition of $b_{U,V,W}:(U\otimes V)\otimes W\to U\otimes(V\otimes W)$

REMARK 7.6. Similarly to what we did in Proposition 6.13, we can reobtain the relation (36) in Proposition 7.4 as a consequence of our theory. Indeed, since $B_{-,-}$ is a hom-braiding, it is also a weak hom-braiding, so the diagram in Figure 16 is commutative. But since the functor $G\,(=F)$ acts as identity on morphisms and we know that $B_{G(M),G(N)}=G(B_{M,N})$, for all $(M,\alpha_M),(N,\alpha_N)\in{}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$, it follows that the commutativity of the diagram in Figure 16 reduces to

$$(\alpha_W\otimes B_{U,V})\circ(B_{U,W}\otimes\alpha_V)\circ(\alpha_U\otimes B_{V,W})=(B_{V,W}\otimes\alpha_U)\circ(\alpha_V\otimes B_{U,W})\circ(B_{U,V}\otimes\alpha_W),$$

which is exactly the hom-Yang-Baxter equation (36).

8. Hom-Tensor Categories versus Tensor Categories

We show that under certain conditions one can associate a pre-tensor category to a hom-tensor category.

PROPOSITION 8.1. Let $\mathscr{C}=(\mathcal{C},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi)$ be a hom-tensor category. Suppose that $\Theta:\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}\to F$ is a natural isomorphism such that $\Theta_{M\otimes N}=\Theta_M\otimes\Theta_N$ for all objects $M,N\in\mathcal{C}$. Consider $b_{U,V,W}:(U\otimes V)\otimes W\to U\otimes(V\otimes W)$ defined for all objects $U,V,W\in\mathcal{C}$ by

$$b_{U,V,W}=\left(\Theta_U^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes W}\right)\circ a_{U,V,W}\circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes V}\otimes\Theta_W\right), \tag{37}$$

see Figure 22. Then $b_{U,V,W}$ is an associativity constraint for the pre-tensor category $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,b)$.

PROOF. Notice that $b_{U,V,W}$ is a natural isomorphism being a composition of natural isomorphisms. So we only need to check that $b_{U,V,W}$ satisfies the Pentagon axiom of an associativity constraint.

Consider the diagram in Figure 23. Observe that the inner bold portion of this diagram is the "Pentagon" axiom of the hom-associativity constraint $a_{U,V,W}$ and that the outer dashed portion of this diagram is the Pentagon axiom of the mapping $b_{U,V,W}$. We will show that commutativity of the bold portion of the diagram implies the commutativity of the dashed portion of the diagram. This will be done by proving that each square portion of the diagram labeled **1**#, **2**#, **3**#, **4**# and **5**# commutes for all objects $U,V,W,X\in\mathcal{C}$.

We begin with the square portion of Figure 23 labeled **1**#. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\Theta_U\otimes\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes W})\otimes(F(\Theta_X)\circ\Theta_X))\circ(b_{U,V,W}\otimes\mathrm{id}_X)\\
&\quad=\quad((\Theta_U\otimes\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes W})\circ b_{U,V,W})\otimes((F(\Theta_X)\circ\Theta_X)\circ\mathrm{id}_X)\\
&\quad\overset{(Fig.22)}{=}\quad(a_{U,V,W}\circ(\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes V}\otimes\Theta_W))\otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{F^2(X)}\circ(F(\Theta_X)\circ\Theta_X)\right)\\
&\quad=\quad\left(a_{U,V,W}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F^2(X)}\right)\circ((\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes V}\otimes\Theta_W)\otimes(F(\Theta_X)\circ\Theta_X)).
\end{aligned}$$

The first and third equality follow from the functoriality of the tensor product. The second equality follows from the definition of $b_{U,V,W}$ (see Figure 22).

Next we consider the square portion of Figure 23 labeled **2**#. Notice that the diagram in Figure 24 commutes for all objects $U,V,W,X\in\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, the portion of the diagram labeled $(*)$ commutes by the definition of $b_{U,V\otimes W,X}$, and the portion of the diagram labeled $(**)$ commutes by the naturality of $a_{U,V\otimes W,X}$.

Now we consider the square portion of Figure 23 labeled **3**#. Computing we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&((F(\Theta_U)\circ\Theta_U)\otimes(\Theta_V\otimes\mathrm{id}_{W\otimes X}))\circ(\mathrm{id}_U\otimes b_{V,W,X})\\
&\quad=\quad(F(\Theta_U)\circ\Theta_U)\otimes((\Theta_V\otimes\mathrm{id}_{W\otimes X})\circ b_{V,W,X})\\
&\quad\overset{(Fig.22)}{=}\quad(F(\Theta_U)\circ\Theta_U)\otimes(a_{V,W,X}\circ(\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes W}\otimes\Theta_X))
\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 23. Hom-associativity for a implies associativity for b



FIGURE 24. The diagram for **2**#

$$= \quad \left(\mathrm{id}_{F^2(U)}\otimes a_{V,W,X}\right)\circ\left(\left(F(\Theta_U)\circ\Theta_U\right)\otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes W}\otimes\Theta_X\right)\right).$$

The first and third equality are consequence of the functoriality of the tensor product, while the second equality follows from the definition of $b_{V,W,X}$.

Next we consider the square portion of Figure 23 labeled **4**#. Notice that the diagram in Figure 25 commutes for all objects $U,V,W,X\in\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, the portion of the diagram labeled $(\bullet)$ commutes by the definition of $b_{U\otimes V,W,X}$ and the portion of the diagram labeled $(\bullet\bullet)$ commutes by the naturality of $a_{U\otimes V,W,X}$.

Notice that in order to glue together **4**# and **5**# we use that $\Theta_{U\otimes V}=\Theta_U\otimes\Theta_V$, $\Theta_{W\otimes X}=\Theta_W\otimes\Theta_X$ and the functoriality of $\otimes$.

Finally we consider the square portion of Figure 23 labeled **5**#. Notice that the diagram in Figure 26 commutes for all objects $U,V,W,X\in\mathcal{C}$. The portion of the diagram labeled $(\diamond)$ commutes by the definition of $b_{U,V,W\otimes X}$ and the portion of the diagram labeled $(\diamond\diamond)$ commutes by the naturality of $a_{U,V,W\otimes X}$. □

Next we turn our attention to the relation between hom-braided and quasi-braided categories.



FIGURE 25. The diagram for **4#**



FIGURE 26. The diagram for **5#**

PROPOSITION 8.2. Let $\mathscr{C}=(\mathcal{C},\otimes,F,G,a,\Phi,d)$ be a hom-braided category, Θ and $b_{U,V,W}$ as in Proposition 8.1. Suppose that Φ is a natural isomorphism and $G(\Theta_U)=\Theta_{G(U)}$. Define $c_{U,V}:U\otimes V\to V\otimes U$,

$$c_{U,V}=\left(\Phi_V^{-1}\otimes\Phi_U^{-1}\right)\circ d_{U,V} \tag{38}$$

for all objects $U,V\in\mathcal{C}$. Then $c_{U,V}$ is a quasi-braiding for the quasi-braided category $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,b,c)$.



FIGURE 27. Definition of $c_{U,V}:U\otimes V\to V\otimes U$

PROOF. Being a composition of natural morphisms, $c_{U,V}$ is a natural morphism. So we only need to check that $c_{U,V}$ satisfies the Hexagon axiom of a braiding. We check the first Hexagon axiom for $c_{U,V}$.

Consider the diagram in Figure 28. Observe that the inner bold portion of this diagram is the (H1) property of the hom-braiding $d_{U,V}$ and the outer dashed portion of this diagram is the first Hexagon axiom property for $c_{U,V}$. We will show that commutativity of the bold portion of the diagram implies the commutativity of the dashed portion of the diagram. This will be done by proving that each square portion of the diagram labeled **1#**, **2#**, **3#**, **4#**, **5#**, **6#** and **7#** commutes for all objects $U,V,W\in\mathcal{C}$.

We begin with the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **1#**. Computing we get that

$$((\Phi_V\otimes\Phi_U)\otimes\Theta_W)\circ(c_{U,V}\otimes\mathrm{id}_W) \quad = \quad ((\Phi_V\otimes\Phi_U)\circ c_{U,V})\otimes(\Theta_W\circ\mathrm{id}_U)$$



FIGURE 28. The (H1) property implies the first Hexagon axiom

$$= \quad d_{U,V} \otimes \Theta_W = \left(d_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F(W)}\right) \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes V} \otimes \Theta_W\right).$$

The first and third equality follow from the functoriality of the tensor product. The second equality is the definition of $c_{U,V}$.



FIGURE 29. The diagram for **2**#

Next we consider the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **2**#, which coincides with the diagram in Figure 29 by the functoriality of the tensor product. The portion of the diagram in Figure 29 labeled $(*)$ commutes by the definition of b, and the portion labeled $(**)$ commutes by the naturality of $a_{V,U,W}$.

Now we consider the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **3**#, which coincides with the diagram in Figure 30 by the functoriality of the tensor product. The portion of the diagram in Figure 30 labeled $(\bullet)$ commutes by the definition of $c_{V,W}$ and the functoriality of the tensor product, and the portion of the diagram labeled $(\bullet\bullet)$ commutes by the naturality of $d_{U,W}$ and the functoriality of the tensor product.


$V \otimes (U \otimes W)$
$\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}$
$V \otimes (W \otimes U)$
$\Theta_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_{U\otimes W}$
$(\bullet)$
$\Theta_V \otimes (\Phi_W \otimes \Phi_U)$
$F(V) \otimes (U \otimes W)$
$\mathrm{id}_{F(V)} \otimes d_{U,W}$
$F(V) \otimes (G(W) \otimes G(U))$
$F(\Phi_V) \otimes (\Phi_U \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)$
$(\bullet\bullet)$
$F(\Phi_V) \otimes \left(\mathrm{id}_{G(W)} \otimes G(\Phi_U)\right)$
$FG(V) \otimes (G(U) \otimes W)$
$\mathrm{id}_{FG(V)} \otimes d_{G(U),W}$
$FG(V) \otimes \left(G(W) \otimes G^2(U)\right)$

FIGURE 30. The diagram for **3**#

The square portion labeled **4**# of Figure 28 commutes by the definition of $b_{U,V,W}$.

Next we consider the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **5**#. In Figure 31, the portion of the diagram labeled $(\diamond)$ commutes by the definition of $c_{U,V\otimes W}$ and the portion of the diagram labeled $(\diamond\diamond)$ commutes by the naturality of $d_{U,V\otimes W}$. Now we notice that the diagram in Figure 31 coincides with the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **5**# by using the functoriality of the tensor product and the axiom $\Phi_{V\otimes W} = \Phi_V \otimes \Phi_W$ from the definition of a hom-tensor category.



FIGURE 31. The diagram for **5#**

Next we consider the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **6#**. In Figure 32 the portion of the diagram labeled ($\natural$) commutes by naturality of $b_{V,W,U}$ and the portion of the diagram labeled ($\natural\natural$) commutes by the definition of $b_{G(V),G(W),G(U)}$. Now we notice that the diagram in Figure 32 coincides with the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **6#** by using the functoriality of the tensor product and the fact that $G(\Theta_V) = \Theta_{G(V)}$, which also allows us to glue **6#** and **7#** together.



FIGURE 32. The diagram for **6#**

Finally we consider the square portion of Figure 28 labeled **7#**. This is commutative by using the functoriality of $\otimes$, the fact that $\Phi_{G(U)} = G(\Phi_U)$ and because $\Theta_{G(V)} \circ \Phi_V = \Phi_{F(V)} \circ \Theta_V$. The last statement is a a consequence of the fact that Θ is a natural transformation and $F(\Phi_V) = \Phi_{F(V)}$ (see Figure 33).



FIGURE 33. $\Theta_{G(V)} \circ \Phi_V = \Phi_{F(V)} \circ \Theta_V$

Thus the (H1) property for $d_{U,V}$ implies the first Hexagon axiom property for $c_{U,V}$. Using similar arguments one can show that the (H2) property for $d_{U,V}$ implies the second Hexagon axiom property for $c_{U,V}$. Therefore, $c_{U,V}$ is a quasi-braiding for the quasi-braided category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, b, c)$. □

REMARK 8.3. Let again $H = (H, m_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \psi_H)$ be a hom-bialgebra for which $\alpha_H = \psi_H$ and α_H is bijective. We can give a new proof of Proposition 7.3 based on the results obtained in this section. We proceed as follows. We know from Proposition 7.5 that ${}^H_H\mathbb{YD}$ is a hom-braided category, and it is obvious that its subcategory ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$ inherits this hom-braided structure. We apply Propositions 8.1 and 8.2 to this hom-braided category ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$, in this case the natural isomorphism $\Theta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to F$ being the same as the natural isomorphism $\Phi : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to G$ (we recall that we have $F = G$ in this situation). Thus, we obtain that ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$ becomes a quasi-braided category, and it is very easy to see that its quasi-braided structure is exactly the one that appears in Proposition 7.3.

References

[1] N. Aizawa, H. Sato, *q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B **256**, 185–190 (1991).
[2] R. J. Baxter, *Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model*, Ann. Physics **70**, 193–228 (1972).
[3] J. Bénabou, *Catégories avec multiplication*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **256**, 1887–1890 (1963).
[4] S. Benayadi, A. Makhlouf, *Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear forms*, J. Geom. Phys. **76**, 38–60 (2014).
[5] S. Caenepeel, I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra **39**, 2216–2240 (2011).
[6] M. Chaichian, P. Kulish, J. Lukierski, *q-deformed Jacobi identity, q-oscillators and q-deformed infinite-dimensional algebras*, Phys. Lett. B **237**, 401–406 (1990).
[7] Y. Chen, Z. Wang, L. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 073515 (2013).
[8] T. L. Curtright, C. K. Zachos, *Deforming maps for quantum algebras*, Phys. Lett. B **243**, 237–244 (1990).
[9] C. Daskaloyannis, *Generalized deformed Virasoro algebras*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **7**, 809–816 (1992).
[10] L. Guo, S. Zheng, *Free involutive Hom-semigroups and Hom-associative algebras*, Front. Math. China **11**, 497–508 (2016).
[11] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, J. Algebra **295**, 314–361 (2006).
[12] M. Hassanzadeh, I. Shapiro, S. Sütlü, *Cyclic homology for Hom-associative algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. **98**, 40–56 (2015).
[13] A. Joyal, R. Street, Braided tensor categories, *Adv. Math.* **102**, 20–78 (1993).
[14] C. Kassel, *Cyclic homology of differential operators, the Virasoro algebra and a q-analogue*, Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 343–356 (1992).
[15] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **155**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[16] D. Larsson, S. Silvestrov, *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra **288**, 321–344 (2005).
[17] L. Liu, B. Shen, *Radford's biproducts and Yetter-Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 031701 (2016).
[18] A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hom-bialgebras*, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 013501 (2014).
[19] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2**, 51–64 (2008).
[20] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras*, in "Generalized Lie theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond", 189–206, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
[21] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. **9**, 553–589 (2010).
[22] Y. Sheng, *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **6**, 1081–1098 (2012).
[23] V. G. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **18**, Berlin, 1994.
[24] C. N. Yang, *Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1312–1315 (1967).
[25] D. Yau, *Module Hom-algebras*, e-Print arXiv:0812.4695 (2008).
[26] D. Yau, *The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 165202 (2009).
[27] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups III: Representations and module Hom-algebras*, e-Print arXiv:0911.5402 (2009).
[28] D. Yau, *Hom-bialgebras and comodule Hom-algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **8**, 45–64 (2010).
[29] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups I: Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 065203 (2012).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, PO-BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
E-mail address: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OH 43403
E-mail address: stpaul@bgsu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OH 43403

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, PO.BOX 1-764, RO-70700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA.
E-mail address: mstaic@bgsu.edu